

# Public Document Pack

## Overview and Scrutiny Committee 27 NOVEMBER 2017

Present: Councillors: David Coldwell (Vice-Chairman), Toni Bradnum, Peter Burgess, Paul Clarke, Matthew French, Nigel Jupp, Mike Morgan, Brian O'Connell and Ben Staines

Apologies: Councillors: Leonard Crosbie, Alan Britten, Jonathan Dancer, Tim Lloyd and Michael Willett

Absent: Councillors: Billy Greening

Also Present: Councillor: Philip Circus

### SO/13 **MINUTES**

The minutes of the Committee held 25<sup>th</sup> September 2017 were approved as a correct record and signed by the Vice-Chairman.

### SO/14 **DECLARATIONS OF MEMBERS' INTERESTS**

There were no declarations of interest.

### SO/15 **ANNOUNCEMENTS**

There were no announcements.

### SO/16 **CABINET MEMBER INTERVIEW**

The Cabinet Member for Waste, Recycling and Cleansing was invited to the meeting of the Committee to answer questions based on his portfolio.

A number of questions were submitted by Members in advance of the meeting, the Cabinet Member provided answers to these questions:

1. Recent press reports stated that recycling of drinks cartons e.g. Tetrapak type containers is rather less common than the public perception. This is because of the plastic or other liners and the fact that there aren't many facilities capable of handling them. Is this the case for West Sussex and are we asking West Sussex County Council to improve the apparent lack of facilities.

The Cabinet Member answered as follows:

*Tetra Paks:*

- *Tetra Pak is the name of a packaging company that has become one of the world's biggest and well-known companies for producing milk, fruit juice and drinks cartons. That is why most people associate cartons with the name Tetra Pak, although other drinks carton manufacturers exist. Clean and empty drink cartons (Tetra Paks) can be recycled by putting them in your recycling bin at home.*

*Items for your recycling bin include: fruit juice cartons, long life milk cartons', soup cartons and other cardboard cartons*

2. From recent presentations it seems clear that HDC is best in West Sussex in the recycling stakes but rather poor against the best. Do we know why we are not as good as we would like to be, what do others do that makes them better at recycling, and what are we doing to catch up.

The Cabinet Member answered as follows:

### *Recycling*

- *We have a kerbside rate of 44.2% with our nearest neighbouring authority sitting at 39.2% giving us a national league table position of 159 with MSDC at 226. The authorities that sit in the top 10 all have alternate weekly collections with food waste collections. Some have 3 weekly collections of residual waste with food waste. We are moving to a new service in February. I have also indicated to the County Council that we would be willing to participate in a feasibility study of food waste. We have 27% of the residual waste bin capacity taken up with food waste*
  - *As part of this change we e have retained 140 litre residual waste bins which engineers waste reduction and recycling. All the top authorities use 140 or 180 litre wheeled bins*
  - *Some also offer a kerbside collection of WEEE – waste electrical and textile collections (we'll be looking at kerbside WEEE in 2018/19).*
3. With the UK leaving EU are HDC still going to have to make the 50% recycling rate targets?

The Cabinet Member answered as follows:

- *The EU legislation (Directive 2008/98/EC on Waste Reg 13) that covers waste is likely to be transposed across into UK legislation (UK Waste regs England & Wales 2011/12) covering the targets for 2020 at 50% further targets are set at 70% recycling by 2030. There are proposals to consider a more a appropriate target related to carbon footprint and commodity value in a world economy.*

*Members noted that no local authorities in West Sussex are meeting the 50% target at this stage.*

4. What progress has been made in trying to secure more commercial waste contacts?

The Cabinet Member answered as follows:

*We have undertaken direct mailing exercises covering Mid Sussex and Crawley. In addition a direct mailing exercise has taken place covering all the businesses in our district.*

*We have adverts placed in various publications covering BN5 and RH12 and 13 post codes as well as advertising in the District Post and County Times.*

*In December we have a marketing workshop to review related strategies and we will have a revised business marketing plan by April 2018. Our income growth:*

*2015-2016- £947,476.43  
2016-17 - £1,047,157.70*

*Total increase in revenue £99,681.27*

5. Has there been an increase in fly tipping within the District?

The Cabinet Member answered as follows:

*Fly Tipping is measured against the number of incidents, material type and general quantities. The number of incidents is shown below, over the last few years. The main material types that are found relate to construction and green waste and black sacks.*

*For 2016 -17 against 2015/16 there has been a rise in total incidents of 142 from 595 to 737 .The increases seen relate to construction waste which has gone up by 38 incidents which may reduce now County have dropped charging at HWRS. Commercial Black bags up by 32 incidents. Household Black sacks up by 18 and White Goods by 17 incidents per annum.*

*Chichester had a total of 988 incidents*

*2015/16 & 2016/17 – areas of significant increase*

Year	Construction	Black Bags Commercial	Black Bags Household	White Goods	Asbestos
2015/16	85	14	65	7	32
2016/17	123	65	83	24	20

*So far we have brought one successful prosecution in 2017 and we have another pending in December with a number of cautions issued.*

*Future enforcement will be more robust with the use of FPN's for more minor offences set at maximum of £400 however prosecution is still an option for more significant cases. The Cabinet approved a new protocol for FPNs that will standardise our approach across the Council.*

*To provide a more robust response to environmental crime in general, we now have a dedicated enforcement officer who will cover education and enforcement where appropriate.*

6. With the increase in development across the District has an assessment been done on what additional costs will be incurred for your portfolio. If so what are the costs and how much capacity will be needed.

The Cabinet Member answered as follows:

- *The increase in development across the district was something that was taken in to account as part of the new bin collection service. The new service has seen significant investment in the service with new trucks and will help drive efficiencies. We are looking to save up to £1million as part of the new collection service.*
- *The move to the new model has seen extensive remodelling and route optimisation work has been carried out for each new round. This also takes in to account material type. This was undertaken with growth in mind.*
- *This was carried out through We anticipated growth and we also made allowances for growth within the fleet procurement exercise and as we have the route optimisation software we can remodel as more housing becomes available in known areas such as North Horsham, Broad Bridge Heath and Southwater.*
- *We may need to adjust fleet numbers if additional recycling streams come on line in order to meet recycling targets beyond 2020. In West Sussex we produce inordinate tonnages of residual waste currently positioned as the 3rd highest producer of Household Waste per KG /House Hold much of it still containing valuable recycling materials. The changes to be introduced in February will encourage residents to recycle more and improve kerbside performance.  
In addition to forecasting for Waste we also budget for Litter and Cleansing requirements as housing growth increases however through service delivery reviews we've found efficiencies to keep growth in budget to a minimum.*

Additional comments included: Members stressed the importance of the design of the new housing developments, as roads can often be too narrow for the collection lorries to access. It was hoped that this would be partially addressed with the switch over to the new rear-end loaders.

Members were keen to see the opening hours at Horsham's Household Waste Recycling Site extended, as the demand in Horsham was high.

The Council was also in discussions with West Sussex County Council about establishing a waste transfer station in Horsham.

The Committee thanked the Cabinet Member for attending the meeting.

SO/17 **UPDATE ON THE THE MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL STRATEGY**

The Director of Corporate Resources presented the Update on the Medium Term Financial Strategy Report.

Members of the Committee noted the report. The update had been presented to Cabinet earlier in November and had also been discussed at the Cabinet Member's Policy Development Advisory Group and other forums. Therefore the Committee was satisfied that there had been a number of opportunities to comment.

Two questions were raised, both referring to the New Homes Bonus (NHB).

Members questioned the projected cost of The Bridge at Broadbridge Heath against the amount allocated from the NHB. The Director of Corporate Resources would provide Members with a written answer to this question following the meeting.

Secondly, Members sought clarification as to whether the entire project at Broadbridge Heath leisure centre was being funded out of the NHB. It was explained that although it was not originally intended, a recommendation was made to Cabinet to increase the amount used from the NHB as it was considered financially prudent to do so, rather than to borrow additional money.

Members also questioned the purchase of the Forum investment, it was originally intended that this be partly funded by the sale of the Council's assets, Members questioned what funds had been raised to date and projected in the next six months. It was agreed that a written answer would also be provided following the meeting after consultation with the Head of Property Services.

It was noted that the Committee would meet on 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2018 to discuss the Budget.

SO/18 **REPORT ON THE CORPORATE PLAN PRIORITIES, FINANCE AND PERFORMANCE IN Q2 2017/18**

The Committee received the Report on the Council's Corporate Plan Priorities, Finance and Performance in Quarter 2 2017/18.

Members were encouraged to submit questions on the information contained in the report in advance of the meeting, in order for answers to be prepared by the relevant officers.

Three questions had been submitted, these, along with the answers were tabled at the meeting:

All referring to Appendix C:

1. DM07: Appeals Allowed 1 - the quantum was not reported per DM22, realising there are delays in settling, do we have the information yet?

Answer: £0 was paid in the Quarter.

It can take many months for negotiations to be resolved and for the most recent case finalised it took two years (this will be reported as part of Quarter 3 report.)

2. SSC9a: No. of fly tipping incidents and SSC9b: No. of fly tipping enforcement notices - 192 incidents of fly tipping, no enforcements, may we be advised if there is sufficient effort made to find offenders?

Answer: The Head of Service has identified that the full figures have not been provided -

For Q2 reporting 1 prosecution and 6 caution letters and for information in Q3 a further prosecution pending.

We make every effort to detect those who fly tip however it's not as easy as one might think to given that you actually have to see the act committed or observe via CCTV or still images . We have a still image camera in place at a known hot spot, however, in order to do this, we have to place signage up to inform the public that surveillance is in place, which usually deters them from using the known hot spot. This only drives them somewhere else.

In order to bolster our response we'll shortly have an enforcement officer in post to take this to the next level.

3. FS07: % of invoices paid on time and FS07a: % of invoices paid within 10 days - Do we have figures yet?

Answer: The implementation of the new Financial Management System has gone well. From a day to day transactional aspect, the basics are in place, albeit the team is learning as we go. But there are lots of things

still to do to get all areas back up to speed with the same outputs as before. Recreating all the processes for things that previously ran out of the old system is ongoing. This includes the performance data stats for the percentage of invoices paid on time for example.

The team have been concentrating on ensuring that payments are properly made, rather than the reporting of the data.

The data should be there in the system, but we've yet to write a full and comprehensive report that tells us accurately what the figures are for these two indicators.

When we've caught up, we can issue the data for the entire period by month.

The Committee noted the Report.

SO/19 **UPDATES FROM TASK AND FINISH GROUPS**

SO/20 **CENSUS TASK AND FINISH GROUP**

The Chairman of the CenSus Task and Finish Group explained that the Group had not met since the last meeting due to difficulties arranging a date suitable for the officers to attend. It was anticipated that this would happen in the near future and there would be an update on the progress at the next meeting of the Committee.

SO/21 **S106 TASK AND FINISH GROUP - FINAL REPORT**

In the absence of the Chairman of the S106 Task and Finish Group a Member of the Group presented the final report.

The review had now been completed and the Group had made three recommendations. The Committee noted the report and approved the recommendations subject to the wording being amended slightly.

RECOMMENDED TO CABINET

1. To support the issue of a short guide to all parish and neighbourhood councils explaining the potential S106 funds that might be available to them, and how to set about starting the process
2. That a brief period be allocated in the forthcoming planning training event, and future events, for parish councillors, to explain the section 106 processes

3. That the Council continues to send S106 reports to parish councils and ward members on a quarterly basis as previously agreed.

SO/22 **TRAFFIC AROUND PRIMARY SCHOOLS TASK AND FINISH GROUP**

The Chairman of the Task and Finish Group explained that the Group had met to consider the results of the questionnaire sent to all the primary schools in the District, it was evident that the problems were fairly consistent. The Group was drawing on its conclusions and it was anticipated that the final report would be ready for presentation to the Committee in January.

SO/23 **WORK PROGRAMME**

The Committee noted the Work Programme.

One suggested item for review was raised at the meeting, this was to review the provision of affordable housing. A suggestion form was tabled at the meeting. The committee noted the suggestion which was submitted before the author had seen the recent report to Cabinet on Affordable Housing Investment which made it clear that the work on Affordable Housing was on-going.

It was agreed that a task and finish group would not be created to look into this but instead the Committee agreed that the Vice –Chairman would write to both the Cabinet Member for Planning and Development and for Housing and Public Protection reflecting the discussions of the Committee and to ask that Overview and Scrutiny be kept informed about development on this matter as it progresses. In addition, to make a suggestion that the question of provision of affordable housing for purchase be considered.

The Vice-Chairman concluded by encouraging Members to submit any potential items for the Work Programme via a suggestion form.

SO/24 **URGENT BUSINESS**

None.

*The meeting closed at 6.52 pm having commenced at 5.30 pm*

CHAIRMAN