## **Report to Cabinet**

28 January 2021
By Paul Clarke (Cabinet Member for Finance and Assets)

**DECISION REQUIRED** 

Not Exempt



# The 2021/22 Budget and the Medium Term Financial Strategy to 2024/25

## **Executive Summary**

This report sets out details of the proposed 2021/22 revenue and capital budgets following the receipt of the provisional financial settlement from Government on 17 December 2020. The Council took prompt action in 2020/21 to restructure the Council's services and in places reducing services to reduce expenditure, saving around £1.4m. However, the net budget requirement for 2021/22 at £13m is still £1.8m higher than in 2020/21. This reflects the significant impact of Covid-19 and induced recession on the Council's income projections and is also anticipated to permanently change some customer habits.

However, the Council is able to set an overall balanced budget for the year due to the receipt of some additional and unexpected Government funding in 2021/22 to support authorities through the pandemic that was announced on 17 December 2020 in the provisional settlement.

In the year, the Council will also be delivering a £7m capital programme.

The level of council tax proposed for 2021/22 is the highest permitted without a referendum. The level remains in the lowest quartile nationally and is the lowest in West-Sussex.

The report also sets out a Medium Term Financial Strategy 2022-25 using the latest information. The outlook remains extremely uncertain. The unexpectedly generous settlement for 2021/22 has been primarily prompted by action to mitigate Covid-19 and only for one year. There is limited detail available on the Government's proposed significant changes to the future of business rates and the Fair Funding Review over the medium.

The current projection is for the Council to have budget deficits over the period of the Medium Term Financial Strategy. This reflects a return to the previous reduction in Government funding and the fact that the national finances are in a historically poor situation occasioned by action resulting from the Covid-19 pandemic. It is unknown as to how the Government will seek to remedy the situation but we have assumed any effect to be gradual rather than a step change in 2022. However, there is a risk that all business rate grant is taken away more quickly than that and the Council could face a significant funding drop in 2022/23.

Until the work of the de-carbonisation action plan is completed by March 2022, the cost of achieving the aspirational target of the Council's direct emissions being carbon neutral by 2030 is difficult to quantify. For medium Term Financial Planning purposes, an estimated increase in revenue cost of £0.1m each year over the decade is included, based on estimated carbon-reducing capital expenditure of £20m across the period.

Balancing future budgets will be dependent on continuing to deliver a programme to maximise efficiency and effectiveness primarily focused around digital transformation. This programme has already yielded large savings and it is doubtful if it can continue to do this at the same rate. The council provides a large number of services to its residents in excess of statutory levels and it will require political decisions about these and about its property portfolio in order to tackle the projected deficits. In addition it is expected that action to combat climate change will become increasingly required and the Council's carbon audit showed the extent of the carbon output of many Council owned buildings which will need to be addressed.

The report also sets out a series of prudential indicators that are a statutory requirement to demonstrate that the Council's capital programme is affordable, and prudent in the context of the Council's overall finances. The report also includes the Chief Finance Officer's statement on the robustness of reserves in Appendix H.

#### Recommendations

Cabinet is recommended to propose the following for consideration by Council on 10 February 2021:

- i) That the level of Council Tax for 2021/22 increases from £152.52 by £5 (3.28%) to £157.52 at Band D.
- (ii) That the net revenue budget set out in Appendix A for 2021/22 of £13.039m is approved.
- (iii) That Special Expenses of £309,920 set out in Appendix C and a Band D charge of £26.01 are agreed in respect of the unparished area for 2021/22.
- (iv) That the capital programme for 2021/22 set out in Appendix D be approved and that the indicative capital budgets in the programme for future years be noted.
- (v) That the projected future budgets on the revenue account in 2022/23 to 2024/25 are noted and the Medium Term Financial Strategy continues to be reviewed and refined to ensure that decisions are taken to set balanced budgets in these three years.
- (vi) That the Minimum Revenue Provision Statement set out in Appendix E is approved.
- (vii) That the Capital Strategy, Treasury Strategy, Investment Strategy and prudential indicators and limits for 2020/21 to 2023/24 set out in Appendix F are approved.
- (viii) To note the statement on the robustness of the level of reserves in Appendix H.
- (ix) That the increases to fees and charges set out in Appendix I are approved.
- (x) Delegate to the Director of Community Services in consultation with the relevant Cabinet lead temporary changes to fees and charges in the form of offers and incentives during the year.

### **Reasons for Recommendations**

To meet the Council's statutory requirement to approve the budget and the prudential indicators before the start of a new financial year.

## **Background Papers:**

- Update on the Council's financial position, Cabinet, 26 November 2020
- Update on the Council's financial positon, Cabinet, 24 September 2020
- Update on the Council's financial positon, Cabinet, 23 July 2020

## Wards affected: All

Contact: Jane Eaton, Director of Corporate Resources, 01403 215300

Dominic Bradley, Head of Finance and Performance, 01403 215302

## **Appendices:**

A: Revenue Budget 2021-22 including growth and savings

B: Grants to voluntary groupsC: Special charge summary

D: Capital expenditure programmeE: Minimum Revenue Provision

F: Capital Strategy including Prudential Indicators

**G**: New Homes Bonus

H: Reserves

**I:** Schedule of increases in fees and charges

**J:** Medium and long term indicative capital commitments

## **Background Information**

## 1 Introduction and background

- 1.1 This report sets out the Council's budget requirement for 2021/22 for capital and revenue expenditure. The budget is reviewed in the context of the projected outturn for 2020/21, future years' projected budgets and reserves.
- 1.2 Covid-19 and the recession it has created has significantly changed the future outlook. The whole country faces an uncertain future and areas with a high proportion of small service businesses and links to the air industry, such as Horsham District, are particularly severely affected. Government postponed its reviews of Business Rates and Fair Funding again to focus on dealing with the pandemic and Brexit. Councils remain unsure how the local government sector will be financed beyond 2021. While it seems fairly certain that the direction of travel will remain towards fiscal self-sufficiency and that the amount of money available to district councils will continue to reduce over the next five years, the short term situation creates an unusually high degree of uncertainty. Pre-Covid-19, funding pressures caused by the demands of social care in County and Unitary Councils suggest that funding is still likely to be 'diverted' from districts towards Councils that are suffering the most.
- 1.3 Each year as the budget is set, unavoidable growth becomes apparent in the services. This is because of increased responsibilities from legislation, contractual obligations and inflationary pressures. The current budget estimate is for the Council to deliver a balanced budget in 2021/22 followed by increasing budget deficits through to 2024/25. Plans to help close these gaps will be worked on during 2021. The continued operation of the Council's core services will require some other services to be closed or publically valued buildings be disposed of or reused in other ways. The Council is well into a major programme of digital transformation to meet the demands and expectations of our customers, funded from reserves, and this has made savings. But with only Planning and Regulatory Services left to change this will not deliver all the savings the Council needs to balance its budgets through the rest of the decade.
- 1.4 The 2021/22 budget and resultant Council Tax level are set within the context of the Council's Corporate Plan priorities, the financial strategy and to meet the Council's legal requirement to deliver a balanced budget. This report is updated for the latest information and knowledge available to the Council. The report also sets out the prudential indicators used to measure the affordability of the Council's capital programme.

# 2 Relevant Council policy

2.1 To deliver a balanced budget over the medium term and to ensure the Council has sufficient funds to deliver its Corporate Plan.

## 3 Details

## Strategic political, economic and regulatory outlook

3.1 The Covid-19 pandemic has caused huge financial losses and uncertainty for the Council, as well as its community in 2020 and with the lockdown since the beginning of this year this will continue. One consequence of this pandemic is another one-year 'stopgap' spending round from the Government covering 2021/22. The 2021/22 budget is produced on this basis, but the Medium Term Financial Strategy remains politically and financially very uncertain.

3.2 Whilst the future concern is how well Britain, and especially our local area, exits from the pandemic through a vaccine programme in 2021, the medium term economic outlook will also depend significantly on the outcome of the UK's withdrawal from the EU and how households, businesses and asset prices respond. Both the demand for the Council's services and its income streams are affected by the general economic health of the District, and the prevailing interest rate has a direct impact on interest receipts. Therefore the uncertainty of the economic and regulatory outlook adds considerable risk to setting a precise financial strategy. With this in mind, the assumptions within the budget and Medium Term Financial Strategy are revisited in the sections below.

#### Finance Settlement 2021/22

3.3 The Government announced an unexpectedly positive provisional finance settlement for 2021/22 on 17 December 2020. This included the same level of business rates baseline funding, and added some further Covid-19 related funding, providing a stop-gap one-year budget settlement that was better than expected. However there remains no certainty at all for the medium term.

## Revenue Budget 2020/21

3.4 Budget holders have monitored income and expenditure against the 2020/21 budget throughout the year. They have also continued to prepare and work up plans to address the future deficits and implement them on an ongoing basis. Because of the income losses in Parking, Planning, investments and the Capitol and the cost of closing and reopening the Leisure Centres, the estimated forecast outturn for 2020/21 at the end of month 9 is a net expenditure of £5.5m. Officers are still taking a number of actions that may improve this position further by year-end. Any deficit will be funded by the use of general fund reserves, however, as at month 9, this deficit is now forecast at £0.1m as a result of the one-off government grant income.

# 4 Update on the Medium Term Financial Strategy projections

4.1 The Medium Term Financial Strategy in Table 1 has been updated for the December 2020 provisional settlement for 2021/22 and other known information.

|  | 2020/21  | 2021/22  | 2022/23                 | 2023/24  | 2024/25  |
|--|--|----------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| Table 1: MTFS                                      | £000   | £000     | £000                    | £000     | £000     |
| Current net expenditure                            | 11,201   | 13,039   | 13,835                  | 14,100   | 14,450   |
| Income / savings to deliver through transformation |  | Included | To be worked on in 2021 |          | n 2021   |
| Net Covid-19 overspend                             | 5,526  | -        |                         |          | -        |
| Expected net expenditure after transformation      | 16,727   | 13,039   | 13,835                  | 14,100   | 14,450   |
| Funding: Council Tax                               | (9,922)  | (10,251) | (10,315)                | (10,420) | (10,550) |
| Baseline Business Rates                            | (2,052)  | (2,052)  | (1,750)                 | (1,500)  | (1,250)  |
| Collection Fund (surplus) / deficit                | ection Fund (surplus) / deficit (53) 1 30 30 0 |          | 0                       |          |          |
| One-off extra CTS funding                          | -  | (146)    | -                       | -        | -        |

| Total Funding            | (12,027) | (12,448) | (12,035) | (11,890) | (11,800) |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Net Deficit              | 4,700    | 591      | 1,800    | 2,210    | 2,650    |
| One-off government grant | (4,600)  | (571)    | -        | -        | -        |
| Final Net deficit        | 100      | 20       | 1,800    | 2,210    | 2,650    |

Note: (income shown in brackets). Red deficit.

- 4.2 In the provisional settlement, Government unexpectedly announced a tranche of Covid-19 support for local government in 2021/22, with a provisional allocation for this Council of £571,000. This one-off grant funding for 2021/22 reduces the earlier predicted £591,000 deficit to a budget deficit of just £20,000 for the year. The in-year aim would be to bring forward transformation ideas from the 2022/23. However, if this is not possible, any small deficit will be funded from general fund reserve, in the same way that any deficit from the current year will be.
- 4.3 The assumptions underlying the current Medium Term Financial Strategy projections are summarised in table 2 below and expanded upon in the paragraphs that follow:

Table 2: key budget assumptions used:

| Inflation cost non-salaries  | 2% increase per annum across the period.   |
|------------------------------|--|
| Increase in salaries budget  | Pay pause in 2021/22 for those earning above average wage. Then 1% per annum based on Government statement of intent (previously 2%).                              |
| Contribution to pension fund | 1% reduction in 2021/22 and in 2022/23 as currently pension fund is in surplus; levelling off at 18%.  |
| Local Business Rates         | 75% localisation of business rates triggering a significant re-base lining in 2022/23.   |
| Increase in Council Tax      | £5 in 2021/22  |
| Increase in dwellings        | Between 800 and 1,000 per year. Note council tax base significantly impacted by Council Tax Support claims.  |
| New Homes Bonus – revenue    | One off payment in 2021/22, with previous legacy payments ending in 2022/23.  Note: New Homes Bonus does not feed into revenue as tapered down to zero in 2018/19. |
| Minimum level of reserves    | £6m – no change.   |

#### Interest rates and inflation

- 4.4 Falls in energy prices and the impact of a cut to VAT have accounted for much of the fall in inflation in 2020 during the Covid-19 pandemic. Britain's exit from the European Union also increases the uncertainty on the rate of inflation over the next three years. The Medium Term Financial Strategy currently budgets for a 2% increase in inflation on the expectation that inflation will return to the Bank of England target. The Bank of England Monetary Policy report from November 2020 suggests that although inflation measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) dipped sharply to an average of 0.6% during 2020/21, they project it to rise quite sharply towards 2% inflation in 2021, as the causes of the fall over 2020 drop out of the annual calculation.
- 4.5 Whilst income is affected by inflation, inflation also increases our expenditure and that offsets the increases in Council Tax and charges. The impact of inflation on the

- Medium Term Financial Strategy will be revisited on a monthly basis as the data is released by the Office of National Statistics.
- 4.6 The Bank of England base rate decreased to 0.1% in March 2020 during the first phases of the Covid-19 pandemic. This has affected the Council's income streams from investments. Some very short-term investment rates are negative. The Council has taken action over the past two years to diversify the investment strategy into non-high street bank style deposit holdings. These helped to mitigate low interest rates by generating more income, but nevertheless, this will impact on the Council's ability to generate further levels of income from investments during the period. Arlingclose Ltd, our financial investment advisors, do not currently forecast a significant rise in interest rates over the period.
- 4.7 During 2020, the Council has not had any cash flow problems due Government forward-funding grants for distribution to businesses. In the medium term, the amount of money the Council has on deposit generating interest income is likely to decrease as the proposed strategy to spend commuted sums on affordable housing will lower the amount of funds held by the Council, albeit this will be replenished somewhat by money generated from the Community Infrastructure Levy. Expenditure on the capital programme also reduces other funds held by the Council. The Council had to borrow short term in 2017/18, although this is not currently expected to be needed again until the end of the medium term financial period. The positive side of the low Bank of England base rate is that the cost of borrowing is also relatively low at present.
- 4.8 Economic forecasters are divided on the future levels of interest rates and much may hinge on the impact of Brexit. The Bank of England's view is reserved as it includes both the scenario of growth staying weak, meaning interest rates could fall, as well as the economy developing an upward pressure on prices meaning a modest interest rate rise may be needed to keep inflation at the 2% target. The former would adversely affect the returns that the Council makes on its investments, whereas the latter would benefit the Council.

#### **Salaries**

4.9 Local Government pay is negotiated nationally and the Council has no direct influence on the settlement. In the spending review in November 2020, Government announced a pay pause for most public sector workers in 2021/22 and indicated that very low public sector pay increases in the medium term will help deflate the spiralling national debt. Consequently, 1% (down from 2% previously) each year increases are included through to 2023/24 in the Medium Term Financial Strategy. In expenditure terms the pay pause equates to a saving of approximately £230,000 in 20201/22 and £160,000 of additional expenditure per annum going forward. The trade unions had asked the local authority employers for a 10% increase in 2019/20 to make up for the losses in real pay over the previous decade. The National Joint Council that negotiates local authority pay is not bound by decisions made by the Treasury and could go against the nationally declared pay freeze. This would increase costs in the budget and put all years further into deficit.

## **Pension Fund**

4.10 The actuary reviewed the assumptions of the pension fund at the 2019 triennial review. The valuation of the Council's pension fund increased to a £25m asset at 31 March 2020. As it is in surplus, the actuary recommended a gradual reduction in employer pension contributions over the period, from the current 20% to the pension fund's minimum level of 18% per annum in 2022/23. Every 1% reduction equates to approximately £120,000 decrease in annual employer contributions in monetary

terms. The fund has benefited from the formal detailed re-measurement exercise and some small revisions to the assumptions such as the life expectancy not increasing quite as fast as anticipated in 2016. However, it is worth remembering that the pension fund has spent more years in deficit than in surplus and the position can quickly decline, due to any further changes in actuary assumptions increasing the liabilities, as well volatility of asset values and the value of assets falling. The contributions position will be regularly revisited during the interim pension fund valuations until the next triennial valuation in 2022. The impact of the recession on the pension fund is not known but it is likely to have taken considerable value off its investments. This may worsen the Council's financial position at the next triennial valuation.

#### **Council Tax**

- 4.11 The headline from Government in the December 2020 provisional settlement was that local government received an average Core Spending Power (CSP) increase of 4.5% (£2.2bn). This increase in CSP is better than in any year for more than a decade (with the exception of 2020-21) and represents real terms growth. However, underneath the headline, 87% of this core spending power increase is actually from council tax increases.
- 4.12 The provisional settlement retained the 2021/22 referendum threshold at the higher of 2% or £5 for district councils in inflationary rates of the moment. Government's funding assumptions for all district councils include increases in Council Tax by the maximum amount.
- 4.13 HDC's council tax is the lowest in West Sussex and is in the bottom quartile of all district councils nationally. A £5 increase in Council Tax, equivalent to 3.28% is included in the 2021/22 budget generating income of £325,000. Every 1% increase in Council Tax increases income by approximately £100,000. As Government funding is predicted to fall away across the medium term, once the UK exits the worst of the pandemic, the Council will be increasingly reliant on self-funding through fees and charges and Council Tax as the only sources of income.

#### **Dwellings**

4.14 The District has seen housing growth over the last five years, as a result of a large developments such as those to the west of Horsham and Kilnwood Vale, Southwater and Billingshurst. The Council anticipates that the completion of these developments together with the delivery of new homes to the north of Horsham and elsewhere will continue to see growth in housing in the District at between 800 and 1,000 new dwellings each year over the Medium Term Financial Strategy period. The housing industry is though highly sensitive to economic factors. Economic uncertainty in a recession may very well dampen these numbers over the next four years. This would worsen the Council's financial position in the medium term over the figures shown in our projections.

## **Council tax support**

- 4.15 Numbers of working people on council tax support in the district have surged by 20% as a result of the pandemic and recession. This has significantly reduced the number of band D equivalent dwellings in the council tax base in 2021/22 to the extent that the growth after new housing build is only 83.
- 4.16 Government has recognised the immediate impact on bodies reliant on council tax and announced a new £670m Local Council Tax Support Grant with a provisional allocation for this Council of £146,000 for 2021/22. This helps towards the more

immediate impact, with the hope that numbers on council tax support reduce as we exit recession, so returning the growth into the band D equivalent council tax base line. The £146,000 has been shown as a one-off grant for 2021/22 in table 1. We do not though expect this grant to carry forward to 2022/23.

#### **Local Business Rates**

- 4.17 The Council currently retains around 5% or £2m of the £44m Business Rates collected in the district, which is based on a complex calculation involving target rates of collection set by Government. Local authorities can increase their business rate income by growing the business rate take in their area; conversely, if collections fall then local government bear an element of risk. Local government currently share this risk and reward with Government.
- 4.18 The district's economic growth from a lack of new businesses has been very low for a long time, putting such growth in the bottom quartile of council areas surveyed. Initiatives such as the development of North Horsham and the redevelopment of the former Novartis site are actions aimed to correct this but the district is a long way below the desirable level for affluence of its population and has not created enough local based but well paid jobs. Until there is a return to anything like normality, the dependency of a high degree of local business, both directly and indirectly, on Gatwick is likely to provide a negative impact on economic and business activity.
- 4.19 There have been a number of conversions of business premises to residential flats (under the permitted development regime introduced by the Government) and a number of retail premises have closed thus again lowering rateable income. Since, the 2017 Rateable Value list was introduced on 1 April 2017, the value has fluctuated as low as £2.1m below that starting point as properties came off the list. As at 31 November 2020 the value has returned to the 1 April 2017 level, helped by the return of economic activity to Piries Place.
- 4.20 In comparison with other authorities though, the Council is comparatively less at risk of significant business rate losses as it has relatively few single significant sites, such as an airport of power station in the district. Some risk does exist however, principally around outstanding rates appeals for which the Council would have to bear its share of lost revenue should those appeals prove successful. The Council had a provision of £1.1m for business rate appeals at 31 March 2020. The slow rate at which the Valuation Office is tackling the backlog of appeals makes the Council sceptical that the provision for appeals will fall in the near future. Many businesses are also seeking revaluations due to losses caused by the Covid-19 recession.
- 4.21 Government has previously consulted on a '75% localised' business rates scheme, but how and when this will happen and what impact it will have on local government remains uncertain. No formal legislation has yet been put forward by Government. Indeed, some commentators are critical of the business rates retention system altogether, although no replacement scheme has yet been put forward.
- 4.22 A 75% localisation scheme refers to the level of growth or fall from the baseline, the latter expected to be significantly and then regularly reset. The Council will also continue to share this growth or fall with West Sussex County Council. However, due to the pandemic, any new system has been deferred to 2022/23 or later. West Sussex Councils have decided to discontinue their business rates pool in 2021/22 because of the risk of serious loss of rateable value during 2021/22. This means there will be no protection from losses but equally no exposure to losses from other Districts in the County.

4.23 Beyond 2021/22, based on the consultation and criteria for earlier pilot schemes, the Council expects that any re-localisation of Business Rates will involve the replacement of other funding streams and is also likely to come with additional responsibilities that would give rise to additional costs. Furthermore, Government has switched the increase in the Business Rates multiplier from RPI to CPI. CPI tends to go up more slowly than RPI so this change is likely to reduce the buoyancy in the Business Rates yield. Over time this will have a significant impact on the resources that are available to local government as a sector.

#### Fair Funding Review

- 4.24 The Government has already consulted on the Fair Funding Review of relative needs and resources and cost drivers and updating the current needs assessment formulae. This attempts to weigh up a range of cost drivers such as population, rurality, deprivation, demand for social care, transport, waste disposal and fire and rescue service. The output from this will feed into a multi-year settlement offer expected from 2022 onwards. Again, this has been delayed by the pandemic.
- 4.25 All the signs and indications so far point towards districts and especially those which have low need and a higher proportion of wealth losing the most. Some extrapolations, if all worst case scenarios happen, could see this Council lose up to £6m funding or potentially more per annum if parking income is included in the calculations. Our projections assume we retain all our sales, fees and charges alongside Council Tax as our two main funding streams in the medium term. If previous changes are a guide, there will be some transitional arrangements, which will dampen the immediate effect. Government had indicated that any period would be short in order to redistribute funding as quickly as possible, but following the pandemic this now might not be as sudden as originally assumed.
- 4.26 At this point it is difficult to calculate the effect of both the Fair Funding Review and Business Rates localisation. Not enough detail is known about the potential changes or when the Government is likely to make them, but the Council can conclude that there is a high degree of uncertainty, especially beyond 2022. The Council has made the assumption that a significant re-baselining of business rates will occur. The Council estimates Business Rate income fading down to about £1.25m by 2024/25 rather than the current £2m, as the damping effect from the Fair Funding Review wears off. The Council will revisit the impact of this as it learns more of how the scheme will work and will feed this into a future Medium Term Financial Strategy.

#### **New Homes Bonus**

- 4.27 The New Homes Bonus provides an incentive payment for local government to stimulate housing growth in their area. The calculation is based on Council Tax statistics submitted to Government each October. In two-tier local government areas this payment is currently split in the ratio 20% to county councils, 80% to district councils. New Homes Bonus is currently not ring-fenced and can be spent at the Council's discretion.
- 4.28 The incentive has been reduced since its introduction from payments of six years dropping to the current single year payment only, and the introduction of a 0.4% baseline that needs to be exceeded before any New Homes Bonus payments are made. For this Council, this means that approximately 250 band D equivalent dwellings need to be built before any grant is received.

- 4.29 The technical consultation paper for the Local Government Finance Settlement 2020-21 informed us of Government's intention to revise the New Homes Bonus scheme and explore a more effective way to incentivise housing growth. Government believes the grant has not been successful in delivering 'additional' housing. The pandemic though has postponed this review, and the provisional settlement in December 2020 provided an unexpected single-year payment in 2021/22 but confirmed the phasing out of previous legacy payments which will end in 2022/23. This rapid phasing out denotes Government's intention to replace New Homes Bonus sooner rather than later.
- 4.30 The ending of legacy payments heavily indicates that some, or all of the remaining £622m national NHB funding pot will be used as a counterweight in any 75% localisation of Business Rates scheme and Fair Funding review in 2022/23.
- 4.31 Any alternative or replacement scheme, if it happens, could change the payment ratio between district and county to one more favourable to county. This would reduce any future amounts this Council might receive to much lower levels than the present. Due to this overhanging threat, during 2017/18 and 2018/19, the Council removed any revenue reliance on New Homes Bonus in contrast to the £1.17m that was included in the 2016/17 revenue budget.
- 4.32 The ending of New Homes Bonus is modelled in **Appendix G**. It includes the provisional one-year payment of £0.9m for 2021/22. The New Home Bonus reserve stood at £4.7m at 31 March 2020. The reserve forecast to run down to £1.5m by 31 March 2024, after allowing for annual investment equivalent to £3m in property or infrastructure expenditure and helping the Council to generate income from appropriate investments to support future service delivery and secure the delivery of infrastructure to serve the needs of the district's residents. A list of the type of infrastructure works the Council will need to complete over the next decade is shown at **Appendix J**. These works will far exceed the money in the New Homes Bonus Reserve and any later in the period will either have to be funded from other general or capital reserves or from borrowing.
- 4.33 A new grant (Lower Tier Services Grant) effectively using £111m of the national NHB returned surplus has been distributed with the purpose of helping to ensure that no authority receives a reduction in Core Spending Power. This effectively compensates this Council for the fall in New Homes Bonus in 2021/22, compared to 2020/21. We propose to put this unexpected amount of £1.2m into an earmarked Covid-19 impact reserve to be drawn on should any of the risks that are set out in section 11 cause the Council to overspend during 2021/22. Should this reserve not be needed for unexpected fluctuations in 2021/22 it will be merged with other general fund reserves to cover the cost of the infrastructure works referred to in paragraph 4.32.

#### **External financial pressures**

- 4.34 West Sussex County Council (WSCC) has identified the need to save a further £100m over the next four years in their Medium Term Financial Strategy, including filling an approximate £20m gap in 2021/22. WSCC has been withdrawing elements of discretionary funding to others as demonstrated by the termination of £870,000 of recycling credits in 2020/21 that was used to encourage levels of recycling and reduce the amount of residual waste.
- 4.35 The Council is monitoring the impact of other WSCC decisions on their remaining discretionary funding that may affect areas such as the housing services and social care. WSCC is working with district councils to mitigate the impact. Some moderate and gradual cost impacts are anticipated over the period.

- 4.36 Government's resources and waste strategy currently proposes that all local authorities should be collecting food waste by 2023. We have been liaising with WSCC to coordinate the collection of data across the district and we have engaged a consultant to try to calculate likely implementation costs and benefits to the recycling rates. This will help produce some options to consider if it is likely to implement food waste collections.
- 4.37 It is currently unknown whether Government will contribute any funding towards the cost of the infrastructure, including the food waste caddies and vehicles, or the fuel and manpower needed to collect from every doorstep on a weekly basis. The Medium Term Financial Strategy has been updated to include a revised estimated revenue cost of £0.75m during 2022/23. The work being undertaken by WSCC and Council officers will further refine this figure in future budgets, alongside any external funding we can secure to achieve this objective. WSCC has previously indicated that some one-year recycling credits may be available to districts who adopt the 1:2:3 collection of weekly food, fortnightly recycling and residual waste every three weeks; a model that some other authorities in the UK have already adopted.

## The Environment and Climate Change

- 4.38 The Council is committed to tackling climate change and on 24 September 2020, the Cabinet set an aspirational target for the Council's direct emissions to be carbon neutral by 2030. A carbon audit of the Council undertaken in November 2019 identified a 2018/19 carbon footprint baseline of 18,000 tonnes of carbon per annum. Around 78% of these emissions were from contracts operating services on behalf of the Council and the buildings that the Council leases. These will be the most challenging emissions to reduce as they are not always within the direct control of the Council. As part of its commitment to becoming carbon neutral the Cabinet also approved a short-term action plan to start putting in place immediate carbon reduction measures.
- 4.39 Alongside the work to set a target and agree an action plan, a high-level estimate of the cost of the Council becoming carbon neutral was also completed. This was calculated to be around £33m. Work is now underway to develop a more robust understanding of this figure. The starting point for this will be the completion of carbon audits of some of the Council's buildings. It is anticipated that this will cost around £100,000 and it will be funded from the Council's transformation reserve. The audits will identify some significant next steps for these building and will provide detailed costs for the work required to reduce carbon emissions. A similar exercise is also underway in respect of the Council's fleet. This approach will be used throughout 2021/22 to develop a better understanding of the costs of achieving our climate change goals. For medium Term Financial Planning purposes, an estimated increase in revenue cost of £0.1m each year over the decade is included, based on estimated carbon-reducing capital expenditure of £20m across the period.
- 4.40 During 2020, the Council has established a partnership with Sussex Wildlife Trust as well as a new community climate fund and a tree replacement fund. This commits the Council committing to expenditure of £571,000 over the next five years and will be funded from an earmarked climate reserve. A further revenue budget of £40,000 has been included in 2021/22 for working to widen engagement with the community on carbon issues. This would include partners such as Parish and Neighbourhood Councils, the business community and residents that are not generally engaged with

the tackling climate change. The budget will be used to develop a district wide plan to tackle climate change.

- 4.41 The Council is also intending to switch from diesel to Hydrotreated Vegetable Oil (HVO) in 2021. Paraffinic diesel is a fossil-free environmentally-friendly high-quality liquid fuel with zero sulphur content and no smell. It is synthetically made through the hydro-treatment process from vegetable oils or some animal fats which allows it to significantly reduce harmful emissions when used in diesel vehicles. Decarbonising the Council's fleet of vehicles is a priority in order to address the 9% of the carbon uptake apportioned to the Councils fleet as a whole. HVO eliminates up to 90% of carbon dioxide and reduces other noxious emissions too. In addition, it is biodegradable, sustainable, and renewable.
- 4.42 The cost per litre of HVO is around 25% higher than standard diesel. In budget terms, this would be paid for in 2021/22 by the underspend caused by the relatively low price of standard diesel at the moment compared to the current fuel budget. However, this is a £100,000 saving foregone.
- 4.43 The capital programme contains a separate line split of the additional cost of buying 'greener' electric vehicles than 'traditional' diesel vehicles, which is estimated at £242,000 of additional expenditure in 2021/22 and £124,000 in 2022/23, on top of the £1.75m of standard vehicle replacements required over the two year period. Further work on the greening of vehicles will feed into the indicative capital commitments (Appendix J) for future years, which will change as newer technologies and solutions come forward.
- 4.44 The capital programme also contains £100,000 of expenditure for installing Electronic Vehicle (EV) charging points in the Council's car parks. The Council is working alongside West Sussex County Council to support the shift to low emission vehicles which will help to improve air quality and reduce carbon emissions. The £100,000 is being fully funded by a central Government grant.
- 4.45 Further targeted reductions to the Council's carbon emissions will come forward as the Council analyses explores the what actions it needs to take from the carbon action plan in early 20210. This will also need to be fully costed and further funding will need to be set aside in the future.

# 5 Draft Revenue Budget for 2021/22

- 5.1 The 2021/22 budget has been prepared following a detailed "Budget Challenge", with Heads of Service challenged to secure revenue streams and reduce expenditure. The challenge process is there to ensure that excessive budgeting is avoided, additional income is found and efficiency savings are made. It also ensures that adequate resourcing is provided to meet service delivery items.
- 5.2 The budget requirement is for £13.04m. The detail of the revenue budget is shown in **Appendix A**. Due to the one-year 'same as' 2020/21 funding settlement from Government in 2021/22, and some unexpected one-off additional revenue grant to support authorities through the second year of the pandemic, the overall budget has been balanced.
- 5.3 A summary of the main items of growth and savings in the 2021/22 budget is also set out in **Appendix A**. The restructure undertaken in November 2020 has helped reduce staffing costs by £1.4m. This includes a £0.1m saving from a reduction in pension

- contribution netting out the £0.1m increase realigning the budget to incorporate the additional 0.75% salary increase from 2020/21 (2.75%) that was not in the prior year 2% budget increase.
- 5.4 The most significant items of growth include a significant reduction in parking income of £1.2m, an increased cost of £1.3m supporting the leisure centres, a reduction of £0.4m of commercial income from investment property and financial investments, and £0.25m of additional one-off support for the voluntary sector.
- 5.6 With such pressures on the Council's budget and the on-going challenge this presents to future service delivery, in particular discretionary services, it is necessary that where possible services generate sufficient revenue to cover their cost of delivery. In 2021/22, this includes raising some fees and charges including increasing the price of the garden waste collection by £1 to £42 a year for the first bin and £2 to £33 for any additional bins. These and other notable fees and charges increases are set out in Appendix I. The report recommends delegating the right to make temporary changes to fees and charges to the Director of Community Services and relevant Cabinet leads to allow them to generate seasonal offers and incentives that may increase income overall in services such as bulky bags, business waste and the Capitol Theatre.
- 5.7 In total, the restructure efficiencies has only mitigated some of the cost pressures and the net budget at £13m requirement is £1.8m higher than the £11.2m from the previous year.
- 5.8 The budget also includes £288,500 of grants available to the voluntary groups, the largest being £93,000 to the Citizen Advice Bureau in Horsham. A full list is included in **Appendix B**. The budget proposes establishing a new one-off emergency fund of £250,000 to help voluntary sector organisations that support Council activities who may face financial crisis as a result of the Covid-19 recession.

## 6 Special charge

6.1 Details of the Special Charge expenditure of £309,920 are included in **Appendix C.** The proposed the Special Charge for 2021/22 is set at £26.01, and is sufficient to fund the proposed Special Expenses. The increase in the special charge was discussed with the Neighbourhood Councils in December 2020.

## **7** Council Tax for 2021/22

| 2021/22 |  | 2020/21 |
|---------|--|---------|
| £000    |  | £000    |
| 13,039  | Net expenditure                              | 11,201  |
| (20)    | Contribution to / (from) general reserves    | 826     |
| 13,019  |  | 12,027  |
| (571)   | Covid-19 support grant                       | 0       |
| (3,063) | New homes bonus                              | (4,830) |
| 3,063   | Less contribution to new homes bonus reserve | 4,830   |

| (1,222)  | Lower tier grant  | 0       |
|----------|---|---------|
| 1,222    | Less contribution to Covid-19 earmarked reserve                       | 0       |
| (2,052)  | Business Rates retention scheme baseline                              | (2,052) |
| 10,396   | Expenditure to be financed from District Council Tax                  | 9,975   |
| (310)    | Less funding by Special Charge taxpayers                              | (309)   |
| (146)    | Less Council tax support grant  | 0       |
| 1        | <u>Less</u> share of estimated (surplus) / deficit on Collection Fund | (53)    |
| 9,942    | Expenditure to be funded from District Council Tax                    | 9,613   |
| 63,115.1 | Estimated band D equivalent properties                                | 63,029  |
| £157.52  | Council Tax at band D   | £152.52 |
| £3.03    | Cost per week at band D   | £2.93   |

Table 3 - Council Tax for 2021/22.

## 8 Capital Budget

- 8.1 A £7m capital programme is proposed in 2021/22 which includes completion of a small number of approved schemes from preceding years, as well as the usual annual stalwarts such as vehicle replacement, home repair and disabled facility grants, and housing enabling grants. There are three new schemes totalling £0.8m of which only the EV charging points for £0.1m is fully funded by grant. An extension to two units at Oakhurst Business Park will complete over two financial years and will provide a commercial return for the investment. Improved security defences at park and open space entrances will help reduce expenditure clearing up after unauthorised entry. The programme is slimmer than in previous years, reflecting both a rationalisation in the economic environment, but also that officers were tasked to only include projects in the plan when they are ready to build. Business cases and budgets will be requested at the point at which they are ready and this may lead to requests for new projects in the capital programme during the year.
- 8.2 The full draft capital programme for 2020/21 is in **Appendix D.** The new programme for 2021/22 is for approval by full Council. Budgets for future years are included to indicate the scale of provision that may be required to maintain the life of the Council's assets and meet the aspirations in the Corporate Plan. The previously agreed budget of up to £2m for a community centre in Highwood has been taken out of the capital programme for now. The final cost and timing is unknown at this stage and work is being carried out to assess and review this in response to the pandemic. Once a more detailed way forward is established and the scheme shovel ready, a paper will be brought back for a Council decision and, if needed, any capital budget inserted into the capital programme at this point.

8.3 To ensure the sufficiency of reserves in future years, officers were asked to prepare indicative lists of infrastructure replacement and improvement needed over the next ten years (Appendix J). This includes replacement of vehicles and building improvements. The Capital Programme no longer includes significant technology replacement because the move to Cloud systems means technology replacement is now largely a revenue cost. One of the large future projects is the upgrade of the Billingshurst and Pavilions leisure centres.

#### **Minimum Revenue Provision**

8.5 The Council is required to set aside funds to repay the borrowing need each year through a revenue charge called the minimum revenue provision. The regulations require full Council to approve a statement of the provision in advance of each year and the statement is in **Appendix E**. No changes have been made.

#### **Prudential Code and Capital Strategy**

- 8.6 The Local Government Act 2003 requires the Council to have regard to the CIPFA Prudential Code and the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) guidance. These also cover the Council's commercial activities, notably commercial property. The objectives of the Prudential Code remain to ensure, within a clear framework, that the capital investment plans of local government authorities are affordable, prudent and sustainable, and that any investment management decisions are taken in accordance with good professional practice.
- 8.7 The Capital Strategy and the associated treasury and non-treasury investment strategies were considered by the Audit Committee on 16 December 2020. It gives a high-level picture of the Council's capital plans including financing and the overarching strategy of investment in traditional financial investments and non-treasury investments such as service loans and commercial property. The committee was asked to approve the preliminary Capital Strategy as the appropriate overall approach.
- 8.8 The final capital programme for 2021/22 has changed slightly compared with the version tabled at the Audit Committee on 16 December 2020 but the changes are not significant to the overall strategy, so it remains substantially the same as seen by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee was also asked to recommend that the Council approve the Treasury Management Strategy and Investment Strategy that fits in with the overarching Capital Strategy.
- 8.9 The final revised Capital Strategy and estimates to be adopted by the Council are set out in **Appendix F**. The Council are asked to adopt them as the final group of prudential indicators. This report revises the indicators for 2020/21 and 2021/22 and introduces new indicators for 2022/23.
- 8.10 The major indicators are the projected financing of capital spend, the Capital Financial Requirement and projected debt. The Council's Capital Financial Requirement is the total outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of Council's underlying borrowing need. The Capital Strategy also sets limits on external debt and sets the overarching arrangements for borrowing, treasury investments, investments for service purposes and investments in commercial property for financial return.

## 9 Potential decisions to close the budget gaps

- 9.1 To help close future budget gaps and paying towards the required infrastructure programme (Appendix J) and de-carbonisation (section 4.38), options on three large capital projects are set out below, focusing on financial terms only.
- 9.2 The most significant financial decision would be the inclusion of Rookwood in the Local Plan for housing. This would generate a significant capital receipt. Depending on the density of housing on the Southern site, the capital receipt might generate close to £0.9m of revenue p.a. either from long term financial investment or from affordable housing income via Horsham Homes (Holdings) Ltd, or a combination of the two. This annual income would help support a wider range of Council services.
- 9.3 The athletics track at Broadbridge Heath leisure centre is at the end of its life. It will cost approximately £270,000 to replace the track before a further investment of £100,000 of additional retexturing would be needed in ten years' time. It also costs the Council around £40,000 each year to maintain and run the facility.
- 9.4 Alternative use of the site could provide 100 homes, of which 35 would be affordable homes and generate £250,000 of net income after taxation per annum for Horsham Homes (Holdings) Ltd. The capital receipt from the rest of the site could pay for the development of the affordable housing.
- 9.5 Over a ten year period, the 'swing' from current costs of £40,000 p.a on the track to income of £250,000 p.a. would generate nearly £3m, supporting a much wider range of Council services. There are options in the middle of these two scenarios that reprovide a track elsewhere, but any capital expenditure and running costs elsewhere would significantly reduce the income that might be generated.
- 9.6 At the Cabinet meeting of 30 January 2020, an independent report to assess the current and future needs for community facilities in Horsham Town and the wider district was commissioned. This review is nearing its completion and will help inform wider decision making on the Drill Hall and Highwood community centre.
- 9.7 In financial terms, the current annual budgeted cost of the Drill Hall is £45,000, although during Covid-19 in 2020, the actual cost has been much higher than that given the reduction in income. To bring the existing building up to a low carbon level would cost over £1m.
- 9.8 Not building Highwood would save up to £2m of capital expenditure and save a similar revenue running cost as the Drill Hall. Converting the Drill Hall into affordable homes would generate an estimated £125,000 of net income after taxation per annum for Horsham Homes (Holdings) Ltd. Over a ten year period, the 'swing' from current costs of £45,000 p.a. to income of £125,000 p.a. would generate £1.7m, supporting a much wider range of Council services.

#### 10 Reserves

- 10.1 There is a cost in implementing transformation, for which £0.5m each year is envisaged in 2021/22 through to 2024/25. It is anticipated that this will be funded from general reserves or any surplus generated. The transformation will help balance future budgets and protect the level of general fund reserves set out in **Appendix H**.
- 10.2 The Council agreed in October 2012 on a minimum level of general reserves of £6m. From 2022/23 onwards income from Government is very uncertain due to the consultation around business rate localisation and the Fair Funding Review. The Council's current strategy on reserves gives sufficient flexibility and headroom to deal with any issues that arise, although in the midst of a pandemic induced recession, concern for the future financial health of the Council is heightened. Except for funding

transformation, the Council's aim is not to use general reserves for unexpected revenue spend in this period.

- 10.3 The budget gaps over the Medium term Financial Strategy amount to nearly £7m. The general fund stood at £15.5m on 1 April 2020 and the general fund balance is anticipated to remain above the £6m level throughout the Medium Term Financial Strategy period unless any further information from the Government or changes in the economy indicate a substantial worsening of our financial position beyond that currently predicted. Relying on reserves to plug these gaps over this period though is not advisable, as the pandemic has already left us in a less strong position going forward and other general reserves are needed to fund our operational infrastructure upgrades. The Council would also be likely to see reserves fall below the minimum level of reserves in 2025/26.
- 10.4 The Council will continue to review potential actions that it could take to balance the budgets in 2022/23 through to 2024/25. These will be brought back in more detail in the next Medium Term Financial Strategy. Following the restructure in autumn 2020 and as transformation programme nears its end, there is limited further scope for making savings through efficiencies. Therefore, the Council will have to fill these gaps from projects such as those listed in section 9 or from the closure of the Council's non-statutory services such as parks and cultural venues or quality cuts to its statutory services.

#### 11 Risks

- 11.1 The Medium Term Financial Strategy takes a prudent but balanced view of the financial future, but continuing to take further action is also important to also help mitigate the risks that the Council faces over the medium term. These risks are set out in summary below and include:
  - the Covid-19 vaccine does not work as well as expected and multiple lockdowns are required
  - income, especially parking income, does not return to the levels predicted
  - people return to visit the Capitol and leisure centres in the same numbers as pre Covid
  - late delivery of transformation savings and income
  - lower savings or income as proposed changes cannot be delivered to the size / scale envisaged
  - weakening national economic position/ low growth post-Covid and post-Brexit
  - impact of recession on income, council tax support numbers, ability of businesses to pay business rates and families to pay Council Tax,
  - a new baseline for business rates and 75% localisation or other changes to business rates.
  - Fair Funding review outcomes and / or further or steeper funding cuts / to help Government meet deficit reductions targets, including any impact on locally generated sales, fees and charges from the Fair Funding Review.
  - legislation forcing local government to pick up additional responsibilities currently unknown.
  - The cost of de-carbonisation is higher and / or harder than anticipated

# 12 Next steps

12.1 The Council meeting on 10 February 2021 will set the Council Tax for 2021/22.

# 13 Views of the Policy Development Advisory Group and outcome of consultations

- 13.1 The proposed budget, Medium Term Financial Strategy and assumptions and capital programme were considered by the Finance and Assets Policy Development and Advisory Group at its meeting on 18 January 2021.
- 13.2 The proposed budget and Medium Term Financial Strategy will be considered at the Overview & Scrutiny Committee meeting on 25 January 2021. The Committee's views will be orally reported to Cabinet at their meeting.
- 13.3 Throughout 2020, regular updates on the financial impact of the pandemic on the Council's finances have been reported to Cabinet and Council, which has allowed all Members the opportunity to discuss and review the financial situation in advance of the 2021/22 budget setting process. The Leader, Deputy and Cabinet Members were also briefed on the proposed 2021/22 budgets in January 2020.
- 13.4 The Chief Executive, Directors and the Head of Finance have been extensively involved in preparing the Medium Term Financial Strategy and are fully supportive of its contents. The Monitoring Officer has also been consulted during the preparation of the document and is supportive of its contents.

## 14 Other courses of action considered but rejected

14.1 Not taking actions set out in this report would put at risk the ability of the Council to balance the budgets from 2021/22 through to 2024/25. Therefore, not taking any action has been rejected.

## 15 Resource consequences

15.1 The November 2020 restructure reduced the salary budget by £1.4m and will also force different ways of working. This will help the Council complete the digital transformation journey it has embarked on. The Council has sufficient capacity to implement new systems at the same time as running the old ones but these changes will not fill the underlying gaps in the budget should they materialise as expected. Over the next year significant further discussions will take place about the projects suggested in section 9 or alternative service cuts needed to balance the Council's budget in the Medium Term. In accordance with the Organisational Change Policy the Council will take steps to avoid compulsory redundancies as far as possible through a combination of vacancy control, redeployment and, in appropriate cases, voluntary redundancy.

# 16 Legal consequences

- 16.1 The Council Tax in England and Wales is provided for and governed by the provisions of the Local Government Finance Act 1992. Within this Act, the Council is designated as a "Billing Authority", responsible for the billing, collection and enforcement of Council Tax. The Council is required under the Local Government Finance Act 1992 to produce a 'balanced budget'.
- 16.2 Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003 requires Chief Financial Officers to report to their Council about the robustness of estimates and the adequacy of reserves when determining their precepts, and local government authorities are required to take the Chief Financial Officer's report into account when setting the Council Tax. This report is shown in Appendix H.

16.3 This report also sets out the Council's Medium Term Financial Strategy. The Director of Corporate Resources has a statutory duty, under Section 151 of the Local Government Act 1972 and Section 73 of the Local Government Act 1985, to ensure that there are proper arrangements in place to administer the Council's financial affairs.

### 17 Risk assessment

17.1 The Council's reliance on Government controlled funding and balancing the Medium Term Financial Strategy is captured on the Corporate Risk Register at CRR01. This is regularly reviewed and updated and is monitored at Audit Committee.

#### 18 Other considerations

- 18.1 The Equality Act 2010 includes a public sector equality duty which requires local government authorities when exercising functions to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited under the Act and to advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations between those who share a "protected characteristic and those who do not share that protected characteristic". When a Budget proposal has implications for people covered by the Equality Act 2010, the Council must take account of the Equality Duty and any particular impact on the protected group. There are no equality implications in regards to this proposed budget but changes needed to balance the budget beyond 2021/22 are likely to require full public consultation.
- 18.2 There are proposals in this budget that include increasing fuel costs to run our large vehicles on hydrogenated vegetable oil and replacing our smaller vehicles with electric ones. These changes will help reduce the Council's carbon footprint.
- 18.3 There are no negative consequences of any action proposed in this budget in respect of Crime & Disorder; Human Rights; and Diversity.