

E-mail: CommitteeServices@horsham.gov.uk

Direct line: 01403 215465

#### **SCRUTINY & OVERVIEW COMMITTEE**

#### **CRIME AND DISORDER WORKING GROUP**

## MONDAY 20<sup>TH</sup> OCTOBER 2014 AT 3:30 PM COMMITTEE ROOM ONE, PARK NORTH, NORTH STREET, HORSHAM

**Councillors:** Kate Rowbottom (Chairman)

David Coldwell Christine Costin Duncan England Frances Haigh Jim Sanson

You are summoned to the meeting to transact the following business.

Tom Crowley Chief Executive

	AGENDA	
1.	Apologies for absence	Page No.
2.	To approve as correct the record of the meeting held on 7 <sup>th</sup> May 2014	1
3.	To receive any declarations of interest	
4.	Announcements from the Chairman or Chief Executive	
5.	Protocol for the scrutiny of crime and disorder matters	7
6.	Review of the performance in relation to the Action Plans 2013/14 for the Community Safety Partnership Plan:	
	<ul> <li>(i) Anti-Social Behaviour</li> <li>(ii) Burglary</li> <li>(iii) Community Engagement and Reassurance</li> <li>(iv) Repeat and Vulnerable Victims</li> <li>(v) Safer Roads</li> <li>(vi) Violence against the person</li> </ul>	11 21 27 35 41 45
7.	Crime statistics for Horsham District	49

#### 8. Future meeting date

#### Terms of Reference for Crime and Disorder Working Group

- (1) To scrutinise the work of the Community Safety Partnership and the partners who comprise it, insofar as their activities relate to the Partnership itself;
- (2) To make reports and/or recommendations to the Scrutiny and Overview Committee/Council or any of the Responsible Authorities within the CSP with respect to the discharge by the CSP of its crime and disorder functions;
- (3) To act as a 'critical friend' of the CSP, providing it with constructive challenge at a strategic level rather than adversarial fault-finding at an operational level; and
- (4) To consider Councillor Call for Actions (CCfAs) that arise through the Council's CCfA process and which relate to crime and disorder matters.

# Notes of the Scrutiny and Overview Committee Crime and Disorder Working Group 7<sup>th</sup> May 2014

**Present:** Councillors: Kate Rowbottom (Chairman), Christine Costin,

Duncan England, Jim Sanson

**Also present:** Councillors: Roger Arthur, George Cockman

**Apologies:** Councillors: David Coldwell, Jim Goddard, Frances Haigh

Officers: Greg Charman, Community Safety Manager

Neil Worth, Community Safety Officer

## 1. TO APPROVE AS CORRECT THE RECORD OF THE MEETING HELD ON 19<sup>TH</sup> JUNE 2013

The notes of the meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> June 2013 were approved as a correct record.

The Working Group noted that the Councillor Sue Rogers was now the Council's representative on the Sussex Police and Crime Panel.

The Working Group welcomed confirmation that the Community Safety Partnership was now automatically consulted on planning applications for developments of more than 25 residential units or planning applications that might have a significant impact on communities.

#### 2. TO RECEIVE ANY DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

#### 3. ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE CHAIRMAN OR CHIEF EXECUTIVE

There were no announcements.

## 4. PROTOCOL FOR THE SCRUTINY OF CRIME AND DISORDER MATTERS

The Working Group received the protocol which had been presented at the Scrutiny and Overview Committee meeting on 5<sup>th</sup> November 2012. The protocol outlined the key provisions of the relevant legislation, the terms of reference of the Working Group, the duty to meet at least once a

year, the role of scrutinising the CSP Plan and performance reports, the ability to give notice to responsible authorities to require their representatives to attend Working Group meetings or to provide information required for scrutiny.

Members considered the protocol and felt that no amendments were required.

Subsequent to the last meeting of the Working Group, the Director of Community Services, the Chairman, and the Chairman of the Scrutiny and Overview Committee had met and decided that the Working Group would no longer meet on a quarterly basis but would meet once or twice a year. That was agreed in order to ensure the Horsham District Community Safety Partnership had time to progress its priorities and for the Working Group to then meet to review performance in relation to the CSP Plan and to consider whether any issues required a further meeting with or without CSP partners in attendance.

## 5. CHAIRMAN TO REPORT BACK ON THE COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP BOARD MEETINGS AND PERFORMANCE

The Chairman attended the quarterly meetings of the CSP Board which she felt was working well. She stated her disappointment with the decision to end the Joint Action Group meetings but noted that those meetings might be reinstated.

The Working Group noted the Anti-Social Behaviour Action Plan progress report for 2013/14. It provided an example of how the action plans were tracked and progress was noted using a red, amber and green coding; the other action plans would be circulated. Horsham District Council had taken the lead on two of the action plans: reducing anti-social behaviour and community engagement and reassurance.

Members were shown how the E-CINS system worked in relation to information about anti-social behaviour. It allowed the relevant agencies to share data securely in a central hub; partners could task and inform one another, bring together reports in one place and share information. It acted as a case management tool for recording anti-social behaviour, domestic violence and hate crime cases across Sussex.

## 6. <u>ACTION PLANS FOR THE COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP</u> PLAN 2014/15

The Community Safety Partnership Plan for 2014/15 had identified five actions, some new and some continuing from the previous year. The CSP

Plan was being refreshed and finalised; it would be circulated in due course.

The Working Group noted the following Action Plans.

Reducing anti-social behaviour (lead partner is Horsham District Council), Community engagement and reassurance (lead partner is Horsham District Council), reducing violence against the person (lead partner is Sussex Police), and casualty reduction with the aim of reducing the number of people killed and seriously injured on the roads in the District (lead partner is West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service). A final action plan was being developed to tackle issues around drugs and alcohol; all of the CSP partners would share the work on that action plan.

The Community Safety Manager regretted the reduction in the level of analytical support that the County Council once provided; the Insights Team no longer provided in-depth analysis but would, if requested, provide broad statistics on specified topics. Sussex Police did provide raw statistics but that needed to be analysed.

The Horsham District CSP website would be updated; that work was programmed as part of the Business Transformation programme and the proposed transfer to a new website.

#### 7. CSP CAMPAIGNS AND EVENTS CALENDAR 2014

The Working Group noted the CSP campaigns and events calendar for 2014.

Members, in particular, noted the success of Junior Citizen which had taught 900 young children via thirteen fun scenarios crucial life skills and the importance of being responsible citizens. New Drivers Awareness sessions had been scheduled every second month from May 2014 onwards; the sessions would be provided by West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service with support from the Sussex Safer Roads Partnership.

A campaign was planned to coincide with the World Cup. The licensing team from Sussex Police would visit those premises that wished to apply for a late licence. An alcohol awareness event would be held in Horsham town on 13<sup>th</sup> July 2014.

Relevant campaigns and events could be rolled out to rural locations in the District.

#### 8. REFORM OF ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR POWERS

The Community Safety Officer gave a presentation which outlined the reform of anti-social behaviour powers.

The Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 received Royal Assent on 13<sup>th</sup> March 2014 and would come into effect on 13<sup>th</sup> May 2014. The Act would introduce simpler, more effective powers to tackle antisocial behaviour to provide better protection for victims and communities. It created new statutory duties for local authorities in relation to anti-social behaviour and information sharing.

The new Community Trigger and Community Remedy would empower victims and communities, giving them a greater say in how agencies responded to complaints of anti-social behaviour and in relation to out-of-court sanctions for offenders.

The Working Group noted the following reforms and powers.

The Community Trigger and Anti-Social Behaviour Case Reviews – this gave victims of anti-social behaviour, communities and Members the right to request a review of a case subject to certain criteria being met. There was a responsibility on the Relevant Bodies (HDC, Sussex Police, the District Clinical Commissioning Groups, and Registered Social Landlords) to have a procedure in place to undertake case reviews. The proposed Community Trigger Threshold for Horsham District was when there were three or more qualifying complaints of anti-social behaviour within a six month period, starting from the date of the first complaint. A qualifying complaint was one of anti-social behaviour made to a relevant body within seven days of the incident occurring. A complaint would be assessed within working seven days, and if it met the threshold a case review would commence and relevant agencies would be requested to provide information. Cases would be reviewed at monthly Anti-Social Behaviour Advisory Group meetings. If necessary an action plan would be prepared in consultation with the complainant. Recommendations could be made to the relevant agency. It was proposed that an annual report would be issued and data presented about the number of cases that met the threshold or not, how many case reviews were undertaken, and the number of reviews that led to recommendations being made. An appeals process would also have to be established to allow appeals to be submitted in writing within 14 days of the receipt of a case review decision.

The draft policy document would be circulated to Working Group Members who would be were invited to submit their comments prior to a consultation with CSP partners.

The Community Remedy – this gave victims a say about the out-of-court punishment of offenders for low level crime and anti-social behaviour. The Police and Crime Commissioner had a duty to consult with the public and community representatives about punitive or restorative actions.

Injunction to prevent nuisance and annoyance – this could be used by various agencies to stop or prevent individuals engaging in anti-social behaviour. The injunctions would include prohibitions but could also include positive requirements. Breaches were civil contempt of court which could result in up to two years imprisonment.

Criminal Behaviour Order – this Order could be issued when a person was convicted of an offence to tackle the most persistent anti-social behaviour offenders engaged in crime. Breaches were a criminal offence which could result in up to five years imprisonment, a fine or both.

Police Dispersal Power – the Police would have the power to require a person committing or likely to commit anti-social behaviour, crime or disorder to leave an area for up to 48 hours. This was for use in a specified location and had to be authorised by an Inspector or above. Failure to comply was a criminal offence subject to a £2,500 fine or three months imprisonment.

Community Protection Notice – this power could be used to stop a person, business or organisation committing ant-social behaviour which spoiled the quality of life. Breaches were a criminal offence subject to a fixed penalty notice of up to £100, a fine on conviction of £2,500 for individuals or £20,000 for businesses.

Public Spaces Protection Order – this could be issued by Horsham District Council following consultation to stop individuals or groups committing anti-social behaviour in a public place. The behaviour had to be or likely to be detrimental to the quality of life of local people, be persistent in nature and unreasonable. The Order could be enforced by the Police and Council officers. Breaches were a criminal offence resulting in a £100 fixed penalty or a fine on conviction of £1,000.

Closure Power – this power would allow the Council or Police to quickly close down premises being used or likely to be used to commit a nuisance or disorder. The Closure Notice could close premises for up to 48 hours without court action. A Closure Order made by a court could close premises for up to six months. Breaches of the Order would be a criminal offence with up to six months imprisonment.

Absolute Grounds for Possession – this was a new power to grant possession of secure and assured tenancies where anti-social behaviour or crime had already been proven.

#### 9. FUTURE MEETING DATES

Members expressed their view that the Working Group meetings were useful and they felt that regular scheduled meetings would be beneficial. It was suggested that meetings be held at least twice a year and perhaps approximately six months apart. The first meeting could review the previous year's CSP Plan and the performance in delivering the action plans, and the second meeting could consider the progress of the current year's Plan and address issues or seek clarity on any matters by requesting CSP partners to attend the meeting.

The Chairman, the Community Safety Manager, and the Chairman of the Scrutiny and Overview Committee agreed to meet the Director of Community Services to discuss the Working Group's pattern of working and the frequency of its meetings.

The meeting finished at 4.55 p.m. having commenced at 3.00 p.m.

**CHAIRMAN** 

## PROTOCOL FOR THE SCRUTINY OF CRIME AND DISORDER MATTERS Horsham District Council

#### 1. Introduction

The power to scrutinise local Community Safety Partnerships (CSP) is granted through a number of pieces of legislation including: The Police and Justice Act 2006, the Local Government & Public Involvement in Health Act 2007. Most recently however the Crime and Disorder Overview and Scrutiny Regulations 2009 (and statutory guidance) has granted local authorities new powers for the scrutiny of crime and disorder and Councillor Call for Action (CCfA).

The legislation has widened the provisions of Overview and Scrutiny and defines those crime and disorder functions or matters that may be considered by Overview and Scrutiny Committees and those that are considered 'excluded matters'.

These require that every local authority has in place a committee with power to review and scrutinise, and make reports or recommendations, regarding the functioning of the responsible authorities (which include): Horsham District Council, West Sussex County Council, West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service, Sussex Police, the Primary Care Trust and Surrey and Sussex Probation Service) that comprise a Community Safety Partnership (CSP). The new legislation forms part of the Government's commitment to strengthen the accountability of local CSP's and enhance the role of local councillors and local communities in preventing and reducing crime. In Horsham District, the Scrutiny and Overview Committee has been designated the "Crime and Disorder Committee" for the purposes of scrutinising crime and disorder matters.

#### 2. Purpose of the Protocol

The purpose of this protocol is to define the manner in which the Scrutiny and Overview Committee can scrutinise the Horsham District CSP and its partners with a view to facilitating good working relationships throughout the scrutiny process.

#### 3. Principles of the Community Safety Scrutiny Operation

(i) Community Safety Scrutiny is intended to be positive, objective and constructive. It should acknowledge good practice and recommend improvements where it feels these would be of benefit. The Scrutiny process should focus on service outcomes and seek to add value and drive improvements to public services.

- (ii) Community Safety and reducing crime and disorder for the people of Horsham District is dependant on many factors including the services provided through the Community Safety Partnership. This shared responsibility will be acknowledged by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee when undertaking scrutiny reviews.
- (iii) At all times, both Officers and Members of the organisations involved in crime and disorder scrutiny, representatives and Members of the public will be treated with respect and courtesy.
- (iv) The key organisations involved in the scrutinising of crime and disorder must be willing to share information, knowledge and reports which relate to the delivery and success of services in Horsham District, and carry out duties that would be reasonably expected of them to enable crime and disorder scrutiny to be successfully undertaken.
- (v) Crime and disorder scrutiny will be open and transparent.

#### 4. The key provisions of the legislation

These are as follows:

- (1) Every local authority is required to have in place a Crime and Disorder Committee with power to review and scrutinise, and make reports and recommendations, regarding the discharge by the responsible authorities of their crime and disorder functions:
- (2) Crime and Disorder Committees must meet at least once a year;
- (3) Responsible authorities or co-operating bodies (non statutory CSP members) must provide such information requested by the Crime and Disorder Committee within the timescales identified in the request;
- (4) Crime and Disorder Committees can request the attendance of a representative of a responsible authority or co-operating body in order to answer questions;
- (5) Responsible authorities or co-operating bodies must respond to any recommendations made by the Crime and Disorder Committee within 28 days.
- (6) Crime and Disorder Committees can co-opt additional members to serve on the committee to add value and expertise to the Committee's work. Cooptees can include any person except a member of the local authority's executive.

## 5. <u>Terms of Reference for the scrutiny and overview of crime and</u> disorder in Horsham District

The function and terms of reference of the Scrutiny and Overview Committee in relation to Crime and Disorder issues are as follows:

- (1) to scrutinise the work of the CSP and the partners who comprise it, insofar as their activities relate to the partnership itself;
- (2) to make reports and / or recommendations to the Council with respect to the discharge by the CSP of its crime and disorder functions;
- (3) to act as a 'critical friend' of the CSP, providing it with constructive challenge at a strategic level rather than adversarial fault-finding at an operational level;
- (4) to consider Councillor Call for Actions (CCfAs) that arise through the Council's CCfA process and which relate to Crime and Disorder matters.

**Work Programming** - The CSP will be advised in advance of any scrutiny review relating to a crime and disorder issue that the Scrutiny and Overview Committee is intending to undertake as part of its annual work programme.

**Scrutiny Topics** - These may include scrutiny of the CSP partnership plan, the strategic assessment, performance reports, or any activities relating to crime and disorder matters which are co-ordinated at district level. It is recommended that performance against the partnership plan be considered as the benchmark for any selected topic.

**Notice of required attendance at scrutiny meetings** -The Scrutiny and Overview Committee will give <u>at least 4 weeks notice</u> to responsible/cooperating authorities requesting their attendance at a scrutiny and overview meeting. Attendance requests will clearly outline the scope of the scrutiny exercise.

Notice of Information required for scrutiny -The Scrutiny and Overview Committee will give at least 4 weeks notice to responsible / co-operating authorities requesting information relating to the planning and operation of crime and disorder reduction activities and strategies that it requires in order to facilitate the overview and scrutiny process. Information provided by responsible/co-operating authorities should be depersonalised, unless the identification of an individual is necessary or appropriate for the Overview and Scrutiny Committee to properly exercise its powers. The information should also not include information that would be reasonably likely to prejudice legal proceedings or current or future operations of the responsible authority/co-operating authorities.

9

Making and Responding to Recommendations - At the conclusion of its study, the Scrutiny and Overview Committee will consult the CSP on any draft reports and associated recommendations before they are published. Final reports and recommendations will be sent to the relevant responsible / cooperating authorities that are affected by the report or recommendations, plus other individuals or organisations that contributed to the study. As required by the legislation, responsible / co-operating authorities must respond to any relevant recommendations made by the Scrutiny and Overview Committee within 28 days of receipt.

**Monitoring the Implementation of Recommendations** - The Scrutiny and Overview Committee will monitor, as appropriate, progress in implementing any recommendations.

**Reviewing the Protocol** - This protocol will be kept under regular review to ensure it remains fit for purpose and compliant with emerging legislation.

#### **ACTION PLAN**

Outcome	The harm caused to individuals and communities by anti-social behaviour is prevented and the 2013/14 baseline levels of incidents reported.	d redu	ced fr	om
Strategic Objectives		R	A	G
	<ul> <li>To reduce the harm caused to individuals and communities by anti-social behaviour.</li> <li>To work towards reduced ASB levels across Horsham District from a baseline of 2011/12 figures.</li> </ul>		A	G
	<ul> <li>To increase the confidence and satisfaction levels reported by our communities.</li> <li>To reduce levels of perceived ASB and the associated fear of crime.</li> </ul>			G G
Key Performance Indicators	<ul> <li>The total number of Police recorded incident of ASB</li> <li>Public confidence in the District Council and Police to deal with ASB and related crime issues</li> <li>Percentage of people who think that ASB is a problem in their local area from the annual residents survey</li> <li>A comprehensive range of performance management and quality assurance measures are deployed.</li> </ul>			
Strategic Lead Partners	Horsham District Council / Sussex Police			
Operational Delivery Lead	Greg Charman – Community Safety Manager			

#### **ACTION PLAN**

Action	Progress (As at 13/08/13)	Status (R A G)
Work with partner agencies and community groups to develop victim and witness service standards using best practise including the risk assessment tool.	This is an area of work requiring further development in 2014. Although the ASB team are linked in well with the Risk Assessment process for witnesses, they do not have a set of service standards beyond those which Sussex Police use. Developing such service standards is an aim for 2014. During 2013 the Risk Assessment process was uploaded onto e-cins which is not being used.	A
As a partnership, develop qualitative data regarding how victims and witnesses of ASB feel within the District, feeding this information in to other areas of business / plans and strategies.	The 2013 Residents Survey contained a series of questions pertaining to ASB which provide a useful background. The ASB team are yet to develop other means to analyse the qualitative information being passed onto from victims and witnesses but this is an objective for 2014.	A

#### **ACTION PLAN**

Collect and analyse relevant information to enable the levels, types and patterns of incidents to be monitored and the effectiveness of any work undertaken to be monitored. This information should in turn inform service delivery / development.	ASB data is collected by Sussex Police and was formerly analysed by the WSCC Insights team. Owing to an internal restructure, this analytical work no longer happens which has left something of a gap in our collective understanding. The Insights team will process 'special requests' of data analysis; however the ASB team have not exploited this opportunity as yet. This information is clearly vital to both service delivery and development and as such is seen as a priority for 2014.	J
Encourage the reporting of ASB by high risk groups including those with long term illness or disabilities. Develop relationships with agencies and third sector organisations supporting high risk groups and increase reporting by raising awareness within the District and publicising successful outcomes.	This action is very strongly linked to the Repeat and Vulnerable Victim priority which has its own Action Plan featuring Hate incidents as a strong theme. The ASB team have been part of a series of meetings held on the District which focus both on people with disabilities as well as Elderly Vulnerable individuals. Both of these groups also have action plans which feature items about reporting ASB. Coupled to this the team also have regular meetings with Police colleagues concerning the vulnerable victims agenda which features as another strand of the ASBag meeting. There remains more work to do in this area however as raising awareness is an ongoing programme of work.	A

#### **ACTION PLAN**

Ensure appropriate representation of partners at the Road Safety Action Group (both North Downs and District wide) to ensure anti-social driving remains a priority locally.	The Community Safety Unit have always sent a rep to the Northern Area Road Safety Action Group and that will also be the case with the local Horsham Road Safety Action Group which is due to launch in Sept 2013.	G
Resource and develop through appropriate training, the high quality anti-social behaviour casework service ensuring that any good practise and learning points are incorporated.	Training and development opportunities are discussed regularly during team meetings and since taking on the Think Family work, the team have accessed more training to broaden their knowledge. Coupled to this the team are involved in the ASB officers meetings which take place around the county with the purpose of sharing best practise.	G
Undertake development work with local services dealing with homeless people to builds links communicating both consequences of ASB for perpetrators as well as victim and witness support services.	The ASB team are linked in well to the local housing providers as well as those services such as the ARK project and Central Sussex YMCA catering for people who are homeless. Although homelessness remains at relatively low levels across the District, it is recognised that certain individuals can cause a disproportionate amount of ASB and the team have ongoing contact with such people. The most recent example being the work done with the town centre street drinking community.	G

#### **ACTION PLAN**

Continue and develop the Anti-social Behaviour Action Group (ASBag) as a forum for partners to discuss ASB on a monthly basis across the District.	This group and its terms of reference were revised in 2012 and very well attended meetings are now held each month which the ASB team lead. The groups are considered a very effective way to share information and feedback to date has been very favourable.	G
Develop and deliver a training input for CSP frontline staff on ASB focussing both on signs, symptoms, how to report ASB and the support services available.	This training has not yet been organised or delivered and remains an outstanding action.	R
Continue to ensure ASB team representation at the quarterly Housing Liaison Forums to promote dialogue with registered providers.	Historically there has always an ASB team representative at these quarterly meetings chaired by HDC.  However the forum doesn't exist anymore and awaiting new instructions as to the group's future direction.	G
Use the Joint Action Group (JAG) meeting to identify hotspot areas and target resources accordingly. Identify and monitor factors which contribute to ASB in these areas and provide this information to other partners where agendas / strategies overlap.	Since writing this action plan the JAG has undergone a revision to its terms of reference. This means that unless there is a significant problem there is no longer a place in the meeting as a standing item to discuss ASB hotspots. Instead this work is covered as part of the ASBag meeting which is both monthly and attended by a variety of multi-agency colleagues.	G

#### **ACTION PLAN**

Where appropriate utilise restorative justice and community resolution techniques with adults and young people committing ASB, to assist perpetrators in understanding the harm their behaviour is causing and to provide positive outcomes for victims.	The team have and continue to use RJ and CR techniques where possible. It is however true to say that the number of cases has not been as high as predicted when CR was introduced, although the referral element is not down to the ASB team. There is room for further development in this area.	A
Ensure that front line CSP staff are trained in the use of the new tools and powers to tackle ASB once adopted. Brief partner agencies on changes and the way in which ASB is being tackled within Horsham District.	These tools remain unadopted at present and at White Paper stage in terms of statutory process.	R
Ensure that publicity and the showcasing of work to tackle ASB is co-ordinated with partner agencies.	This is an area of work requiring further development and although examples of collaborative work exist (Neighbour Nuisance Guide) there is room for more publicity and showcasing linked to the Engagement and Reassurance sub-group of which the ASB team are regular attendees.	A
	Other examples = awareness days / days of action	
	Multi-agency action and publicity  ASBag	

#### **ACTION PLAN**

Liaise with communities via Local Action Teams, Police Panels, Parish based Youth Worker and others attending Community Meetings to ensure active involvement and early indicators of potential problems are picked up as well as feeding in key performance information.	Strong links exists with many community based workers and groups, however it is also recognised that this work is ongoing as things change and new initiatives are created. The most recent example is the Community Youth Workers who are new to post, however the ASB team have met the staff and have given input into their early training. The team regularly attend Police Panel and LAT meetings.	G
Support individuals and communities to build the skills and capacity to work with the CSP to tackle and report ASB within their communities as well as identifying victims and witnesses.	This work is considered ongoing and is likely to never reach an end state. Each time the CSP collectively tackles issues within a geographical location, the ASB team is a cornerstone of this work. The most recent example was a day of action and other associated work to tackle drugs in the Roffey area.	A
Continue to work with partners including the Family Intervention Project, Targeted Youth Support Service and Youth Offending Service to tackle ASB caused by young people and present first time entrants to the youth justice system.	Although links to each of these services exists there is room for further development, particularly the YOS and TYS.  Other links include ASBag and DYO	G
Ensure that the CAF process is embedded within the ASB casework services, including any emerging work from the FIP agenda.	ASB Caseworkers regularly attend CAF planning meetings and are familiar and comfortable with the processes and mechanisms that drive CAF	G

#### **ACTION PLAN**

Develop stronger links to the District Council's Strategic Planning department to ensure early influence over the layout and format of potential developments with the aim of 'designing out' ASB from local communities such as the proposed West of Horsham BMX pump track.	In July 2013 the CSP was added to the Council's list of consultee organisations for major developments. This means that for the first time the partnership (including the ASB team) will be able to both view and comment on developments from the point of view of ASB. This new process is in the early stages but already the CSP has been sent a number of developments to view.	G
Ensure strong links exist to the education providers locally and that ASB features on any appropriate input from either the CSP or via the Police School Liaison Officers.	The ASB team have developed meetings known as BIC's to discuss behaviours, issues and concerns which all of the secondary schools in the District. These meetings are relatively informal but provide the opportunity to pick up any ASB issues which are taking place both inside and outside of school. In addition to this work the team have a regular dialogue with the School Liaison Officers.	G
Ensure the ASB team refer into and promote the work of the West Sussex Mediation Service amongst those individuals who have reported issues which 'fit' the referral criteria.	The team refer appropriate cases into the WSMS when the referral criteria have been met.	G
Develop and distribute a Neighbour Nuisance Guide to promote 'self-help' within our communities from those suffering ongoing neighbour problems.	This guide has been developed and is widely used by a number of partners in both hard copy format and electronically.  An annual check of the content's accuracy is planned for later in 2013.	G

#### **ACTION PLAN**

If adopted by the CSP ensure that E-Cins is fully utilised for ASB work and that the potential of the system maximised by having staff trained to an appropriate level.	E-cins has now been adopted by the CSP and the ASB team have received their training from the provider. The team have been uploading information onto the system and it is planned that the ASB Administrator will be the likely 'owner' of the system in terms of information. The value of E-cins will not be fully exploited until such a time as the other statutory partners are using the system.	A
Continue to deliver the CSP's Revolution and Understanding Teenage Behaviour courses on a needs basis with appropriate referrals from partners including schools.	Both projects remain ongoing and well attended having recently benefitted from revisions to improve the structure and content. Each course requires attendees to provide feedback which is assessed by the CSM to ensure value for money.	G

#### **ACTION PLAN**

Outcome	To reduce the number of people who are victims of domestic burglaries which can have a significant impact.			
Strategic Objectives	<ol> <li>Prevention - to reduce the number of recorded burglary dwelling offences and in particular repeat victims</li> <li>Intelligence - to increase multi agency information sharing in relation to those at risk due to their elderly and / or vulnerable status</li> <li>Enforcement - to work 'cross border' on enforcement campaigns and initiatives</li> <li>Reassurance - to develop, in partnership with NHW, home security support to those away from their homes i.e. holidays</li> </ol>		A	G
Key Performance Indicators	The total number of Police recorded burglary dwelling offences 2013/14 in comparison to 2012/13 and in particular those identified as repeat victims			
	• 26 <sup>TH</sup> July 2013 -2013 / 2014 PPY = 16.9% reduction compared to 2012 / 2013 (13 less offences)			
	<ul> <li>3<sup>rd</sup> February 2014 - 2013/2014 PPY = 3.2% increase compared to 2012 / 2013 (7 more offences)</li> </ul>			
	PPY Result as at 31/03/2014			
	We recorded 261 dwelling burglary offences for the 2013/14 PPY. This is an increase of 2% or 5 offences when compared to the previous PPY.			
	Increased information sharing by all partners and agencies who engage with someone who they deem at risk due their elderly and / or vulnerable status			
	3. The number of 'cross border' enforcement campaigns and initiatives participated in			
	4. An increase in the confidence and satisfaction levels fed back by those using the service			
Strategic Lead Partners	Sussex Police			
Operational Delivery Lead	Chief Inspector Howard Hodges - Horsham District Commander			

Action	Progress	Status (R A G)
<b>1.</b> To ensure that all victims of burglary dwelling receive crime prevention advice to prevent them becoming a repeat victim.	All front line officers have CP awareness as do SOCO officers.	G
<b>1a.</b> To ensure that all repeat burglary dwelling victims receive specialist advice from a Sussex Police Crime Prevention Officer.	1a. District Pre DMM sheet adapted to ensure that this is triggered for all repeat victims and now forms part of a wider Op Magpie force burglary plan i.e. super cocooning.	G

2. All CSP / JAG partners to compile a list of those deemed to be vulnerable and who would benefit from referral to Sussex Police to prevent them becoming a victim of crime.	Op Signature rolled out across the Force sharing information regarding those vulnerable to scamming. These are the same target audience and all have been visited by NPT officers to be assessed and given CP advice.	G
	Op Signature remains a force priority.	
<b>2a.</b> When a burglary series is identified to ensure that the demographic of those involved is shared with all CSP / JAG partners to assist with crime prevention messages, reassurance and proactive support and engagement.	2a. We have had very few series where this is relevant - the increase in burglaries we have seen is on the North of the District and - with the exception of the current Antique series - offences have not been linked.	A
	We conduct a daily review of all offences (including burglary) and Community Messaging is used on a daily basis.	
	Operation Shed (rural South OTD series) in April 2014 demonstrated multi agency approach utilising a wide range of partners and initiatives – for example bin stickers.	

3. Horsham District to join with Chichester District and both Surrey and Hampshire Police in the operational delivery of Operational Limestone - a recurring series of cross border burglary enforcement initiatives.	Numerous Op Limestone operations have taken place during the PPY – 4 <sup>th</sup> June 2013, 23 <sup>rd</sup> July 2013,12 <sup>th</sup> November 2013 and 27 <sup>th</sup> February 2014.	G
	An example of associated productivity relating to the 12 <sup>th</sup> November 2013.	
	The <b>OP Limestone</b> Stats for Chichester, Arun and Horsham District, including ANPR team are:	
	71 x vehicles stopped	
	<ul> <li>13 x TOR (Traffic Offence Reports)</li> <li>x V79</li> <li>3 x S165</li> <li>4 x Stop Searches</li> <li>9 x NICHE intel reports</li> <li>3 x CLE2/6</li> <li>2 x Crashes dealt with</li> <li>x Diesel dip checks</li> <li>1 x Seizure of Lead from suspect</li> <li>2 x HORT/1</li> </ul>	
	This has been a successful cross border operation that is in the process of being re-invigorated now that NPT resources are stronger and more focussed through Op Suppress.	

Priority: Reducing Burglary (with a focus on people's homes)

**4.** To establish and deliver a scheme, in partnership with NHW, where householders who plan to be away from their home can make contact and be provided with literature to support them prior to departure with referral (with their consent) made to the NHW who can monitor their premises in their absence.





Home Security home office Leaflet.pdf (283... noliday.pdf (70 KB...

Update - 5<sup>th</sup> February 2014

Launched on Monday 29th July

Limited referrals (5/6) thus far which was the intention to have the scheme running smoothly in advance of the peak demand Summer period.

Sergeant Cobbett and Emma-Louise Walsh reviewing with NHW and refreshing guidance to District staff.

<u>Update – 26<sup>th</sup> February 2014</u>

Refreshed by PS Cobbett with Andrew Moffatt. Flow chart produced detailing process for ease of reference.



NHW Burglary Process 2.doc (31...

This scheme – whilst a sound idea in principle supported by Sussex Police and NHW – failed to capture the imagination of the public and attracted very few referrals. It has now been moth balled in light of other more urgent priorities.

#### **ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014 (Updated January 2014)**

Outcome	Increase the level of reassurance, reporting and feeling of safety within the Horsham Distri	ct com	munit	у
Strategic Objectives				
	<ul> <li>To promote the work of the Community Safety Partnership within the Horsham District.</li> </ul>	R	A	G
	<ul> <li>To provide reassurance to residents, businesses and visitors to the district's towns and villages.</li> </ul>			l
	To increase the confidence and satisfaction levels reported by our communities.			ı
	<ul> <li>To actively engage with communities across the district, seeking their views and encouraging their involvement in community safety issues.</li> </ul>			
Key Performance Indicators	<ul> <li>Percentage of individuals reporting that they feel safe or very safe in their area, particularly at night as recorded in the annual HDC Residents' Survey.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Percentage of individuals who believe that Sussex Police and other agencies are successfully tackling issues within their communities, from a baseline of 41% recorded in the HDC Residents' Survey in 2011.</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>A single CSP corporate image established and campaign launched detailing the work of the CSP and all agencies within it.</li> </ul>			
Strategic Lead Partners	Horsham District Council / Sussex Police			
Operational Delivery Lead	Neil Worth – Community Safety Officer			

#### **ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014 (Updated January 2014)**

Action	Progress	Status (R A G)
<ul> <li>Reduce the fear of crime and increase public reassurance by raising the profile of the Community Safety Partnership with all members of the community.</li> <li>Establish a corporate identity for the CSP, making best use of the resources available to ensure that a consistent message is delivered through all marketing materials.</li> <li>Establish an awareness campaign to raise the profile of the CSP and the work it undertakes to keep people safe. This can include a poster campaign, newspaper advertising and the CSP website.</li> <li>Review the CSP website to ensure that it reflects the Partnership Plan priorities for the year.</li> </ul>	Work is ongoing with regard to the establishment of a corporate identity for the CSP. A number of templates have been produced for approval.  Again the awareness campaign has not commenced but various artwork has been designed for approval although work remains ongoing.  Website has had a light-touch review to ensure that it is up to date. A more user friendly site should be considered by the CSP for the future.	
<ul> <li>Publish the Partnership Plan and other supporting documents, taking into account the requirement to make them accessible to all by making them available in different formats as necessary.</li> <li>The 2013/14 Partnership Plan, Strategic Assessment and other supporting documents to be published throughout the District. These documents should highlight where the CSP is focussing its resources and why.</li> </ul>	Partnership Plan published and available via CSP Website.	

#### **ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014 (Updated January 2014)**

As a Partnership take part in at least two community engagement events at various locations throughout the District.  • The Communications Group to identify appropriate engagement opportunities in order to promote the work of the CSP.	Sparks in the Park July 2013 Partridge Green Sept 2013 Op Davos 26 Oct 2013 (WSCT article following multi-agency operation) "Two minutes for Southwater" launch event 29 Oct 2013 BRAKE Road Safety Week event in Billingshurst 18 Nov 2013	
<ul> <li>CSP to adopt a column in newspapers and other publications to promote the work of the Partnership.</li> <li>On a monthly basis initially focus on the work of the CSP and those organisations that it consists of. Initially this could be on a "Day in the Life of" type article.</li> <li>Adopt a quarterly victim-led case study to increase reporting and reassurance.</li> </ul>	First article featuring Cllr Rogers to be published in the WSCT on 14 Nov 13	
<ul> <li>Proactively seek to engage with communities (including communities of interest) to reduce the fear of crime.</li> <li>Put reassurance at the heart of what the CSP does by delivering appropriate messages through agreement with Communications Group.</li> <li>Focus on the current Partnership Plan priorities, providing reassurance and crime prevention messages as appropriate making use of the Safer Seasons model.</li> </ul>	This is ongoing.  Attending the West Sussex Domestic and Sexual Violence Communications meeting on 13 Nov to pool resources for this issue.	

#### **ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014 (Updated January 2014)**

#### **Priority: Community Engagement and Reassurance**

Maintain Park Mark (Safer Parking Award) for all Horsham District
Council owned car parks within Horsham Town Centre and seek to
expand this throughout the District.

- Horsham District Council has retained the Park Mark award following a re-assessment of the relevant town centre car parks in 2012. A number of areas of outstanding action are being addressed by HDC Parking Services in order to retain the award in 2013.
- As part of the review into car parks throughout the District opportunities to include rural car parks should be identified prior to the re-accreditation process in 2013. This will include those in Billingshurst, Storrininton & Steyning.

Town Centre car parks (except Piries Place) re-accredited for further two years until 2015.

Rural car parks not considered at this time.

Additional work required by HDC Parking Services to promote Park Mark in the relevant car parks.

Promote reassurance in the growing night time economy in Horsham Town Centre by adopting and publishing the key performance indicators of the Purple Flag Award Scheme.

- Undertake the self assessment process to identify where Horsham is meeting the standards for the scheme, including recorded crime levels and public perception.
- Form a multi-agency steering group, led by the CSP to investigate the possibility of applying for the Purple Flag Award in 2013.

The Purple Flag application process is being led by Garry Mortimer-Cook HDC's Town Centre Manager. Purple Flag applications can be made twice a year, the next application window opens in early 2014.

Horsham Unlimited have included the Purple Flag application as part of their actions in relation to forming a BID in the town.

#### **ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014 (Updated January 2014)**

<ul> <li>Continue to promote the use of Watch Schemes, notably Neighbourhood Watch, Farm Watch, Business Watch, Shop Watch and Pubwatch.</li> <li>Make use of the existing methods of engagement through the existing networks of watch scheme members. Promote the work of the CSP and reassurance messages to all members of these groups.</li> <li>Proactively seek to increase membership of the various schemes through positive engagement.</li> </ul>	This is ongoing.  A meeting will be taking place on 18 November relating to the future of Shop Watch within Horsham Town Centre with a view to improving the existing scheme.	
Promote the Community Police Office concept throughout the district.	The Billingshurst Office is open and operational.	
Support the launch of the volunteer led Community Police Office in Billingshurst and investigate the possibility of expanding this model	CSP recently supported a joint event between the Southwater Police Office and Community Responders	
to other areas in the district.	Preliminary discussions are taking place with regard to an office on the South Holmes Road Estate in Roffey as part of the Think Family Neighbourhood agenda.	

#### **ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014 (Updated January 2014)**

Establish the feasibility of extending the public space CCTV network to other locations across the District.  • Undertake a feasibility study looking at the new developments West of Horsham, Broadbridge Heath and Albion Way (Horsham Town Centre). Establish appropriate costing and funding streams.	In progress – Initial conversations have taken place between HDC and the developer regarding the necessity for CCTV at the new Albion Way development.  Comments relating to CCTV have been submitted in response to the planning consultation for Albion Way.	
<ul> <li>Hold at least one "face the people session" within the District in 2013.</li> <li>Communications Group to identify appropriate opportunity to include this within another event if possible.</li> <li>Set a date prior to the end of 2013 to hold the session and advertise it widely within the District, to achieve maximum representation from all members of the community.</li> </ul>	A date for this needs to be set in conjunction with the CSP Conference. This will mirror the event held this year where Parish Councils etc were invited in to address priorities following the presentation of the strategic assessment.	
<ul> <li>Establish a Community Safety Day to promote the work of the CSP.</li> <li>Communications Group to lead on preparing a 999/Community Safety event to take place during the summer of 2013.</li> </ul>	Sparks in the Park 28 July 2013 attended by Sussex Police, WSCC (Trading Standards), WSFRS, SECAMB, SSRP, Samaritans & NHW.  Sparks in the Park was a complete success and has now been identified as a key event for SSRP for next year. Planning for next year's event is now underway.	

#### **ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014 (Updated January 2014)**

#### **Priority: Community Engagement and Reassurance**

## Conduct a Community Safety survey of all residents and businesses across the District.

 Making use of existing survey mechanisms engage with as many members of the community as possible seeking their comments on the CSP Plan and their concerns relating to community safety issues. In progress – HDC Residents' survey currently underway until 31 October 2013

The survey has now closed and we are awaiting the results.



**ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014 (Updated January 2014)** 

### **ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014**

Outcome	Reduce the level of risk to repeat and vulnerable victims in Horsham District			
Strategic Objectives	Reduce risk of repeat victimisation in identified priority groups			
	<ul> <li>Support the delivery of education and awareness raising initiatives, particularly amongst those groups under-represented in hate incident reporting statistics.</li> </ul>	R	A	G
	Enable enhanced sharing of local information on at risk individuals/communities			
	<ul> <li>Increase referrals to the Hate Incident Support Service</li> </ul>			
	Levels of disability reporting continue to be very low. Numbers for 2013-2014:			
	1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter – 14 (10 Racism, 3 Disability, 1 Sexual Orientation) 2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter – 8 (6 Racism, 1 Religion/Faith, 1 Sexual Orientation) 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter – 13 (10 Racism, 1 Religion/Faith 1 Disability, 1 Sexual Orientation) 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter – 10 (10 Racism)			
	For the 1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter of 2014/15 there were 11 incidents of hate incidents/crime (9 Racism, 1 Sexual Orientation, 1 Gender Identity, 1 Religion/Faith)			
Key Performance Indicators	A reduction in the levels of risk experienced by victims of hate incidents/crime for 2013/14 2012/13 baseline	year f	rom th	ie
	An Increase in reporting from vulnerable groups experiencing identified crime types.			
	A clearer understanding about the profile and location of potentially vulnerable individuals a District.	across	the	
Strategic Lead Partners	West Sussex County Council			

### **ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014**

Operational Delivery Lead	Tanya Mackay/Beverly Knight – West Sussex County Council
------------------------------	--

Action	Progress	Status (R A G)
Provide some analysis into the profile of repeat victims of crime within the Horsham District, sharing this information with partners through the JAG process to enable appropriate responses if and where necessary.	Victim Support can provide this data. The figures for Horsham are so low that creating a profile would not be difficult. This data was originally discussed as an on-going agenda item for the JAG, which was dissolved last year. The JAG has recently been reinstated, and if requested this data can be supplied as originally intended.	A

### **ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014**

Undertake some research to better understand any existing community tensions. If required develop a Community Tensions monitoring group	The model for Community Tensions Monitoring is currently under review however the data for Horsham is still collected and can be made available given the appropriate forum to do so. It is suggested that if it a discussion between HDC and Sussex Police would be helpful to understand the current pressures for Horsham relating to migrant workers, Right Wing groups/Extremist groups and Gypsies and Travellers as well as potential emerging issues around contentious planning applications and shale gas drilling.	A
Work with partners to enable data sharing so that a map of the most at risk groups locally in terms of vulnerability can be developed.	Mapping completed however this is ongoing work that can be included as part of the JAG agenda and tied in to other priorities around reducing vulnerability.	G
Promote the Safe Place Scheme and other initiatives aimed at reducing vulnerability through the CSP Communications Group.	There are a number of shops in Horsham operating as part of the Safe Place Scheme. The scheme is County-wide and is promoted through West Sussex CC and Sussex Police. Horsham was the first town to launch its scheme in 2012. No specific promotion through the CSP Communications Group during 2013-14 but scope to do so in 2014-15.	A

### **ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014**

Broaden the membership of the Joint Action Group to enable greater representation from community groups where there are identified issues that require a joined up response.	Key representatives invited to JAG meetings where repeat and vulnerable victims plan is discussed.	G
Continue to develop the work of the Disability Hate Incident Group begun in 2012 and led by Horsham District Council	Group is chaired by HDC. There is a considerable amount of on-going work that goes on outside of the regular meetings.	G
Establish a network of community contacts with links to vulnerable groups and provide a forum for these contacts to share information and resources across the partnership.	Due to lack of resources and time this action was not achieved.	R
Locally support the Worth Services 2020 Strategy	Sussex Police has the lead for delivering actions to tackle violent crime, of which repeat victims of Domestic and Sexual Violence is part. The 2020 strategy has been drafted in consultation with Sussex Police and a range of other stakeholders, including local services, which are reflected in the current processes to support repeat victims.	G
Undertake a CSP website review to ensure the content is accessible for any vulnerable groups with appropriate downloadable resources and literature.	Due to lack of resources and time this action was not achieved.	R

### **ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014**

Research available grants and funding for local work and access where applicable.	The Solutions team sends out a regular email on available funding/grants, which goes out to a number of stakeholders. District Council funding/grants officers will now be added to the distribution list, and external/voluntary sector practitioners who also wish to receive the email can request this through the JAG and their attending Community Officer.	G
Link in to the project which WSF&RS are exploring in 2013 to target vulnerable people most at risk from death and injury as a result of fire.	WSFRS are the lead for this piece of work and have a comprehensive media and engagement plan in place for Who Cares? The CSP is informed and will continue to link in with the project as it develops.	G
Facilitate work between Age UK Horsham and the Hate Incident Support Service to reduce vulnerability in older people.	It is likely that this action will not be delivered in 2013/14. Lack of resources means that there is no capacity to look at age-related hate crime at this point. Suggest that the action is either removed or possibly retained and rolled forward to the 2014/15 performance year if it remains a priority for the partnership. Possible inclusion as part of the Older Persons Group run by HDC?	R

**ACTION PLAN 2013 – 2014** 

#### Safer Roads Action Plan

Apologies for not responding sooner. This is a very difficult question to answer. I actually took over the Horsham Road Safety Accident Group in early 2014 from Roy Barraclough - another Station Manager from Central Group. Until August 2013 the RSAG had been absorbed into the North Area RSAG which comprised of Horsham, Mid-Sussex and Crawley. Following a spate of KSI in Horsham District, the CSP met and discussed what should be done about the deaths and what work was ongoing. It was decided to revive the Horsham RSAG.

2013/2014 - Generic work went on under both the NARSAG and latterly the Horsham RSAG:

- Junior Citizen
- Summer and xmas drink drive campaigns
- Support for Tyre Safe
- Schools education from WSCC, Fire and Police
- Bikeability, cycle training
- Community Speedwatch
- Urban footsteps
- Junior Road Safety Officer
- Safe Drive, Stay Alive
- New Driver and New Rider Awareness programs
- Southwater Safer Roads
- Mocktails
- National Bike Week.

R. Dawy

Additionally following on from the deaths we stepped up community engagement with the District Youth by sending CSP resources to the Snack Wagon, NDA provided at Horsham Fire Station, reviewed our provision of Safe Drive sessions and started additional work with Billingshurst Parish Council as they were directly impacted by a number of RTCs.

Most of these activities were jointly resourced with partners having greater or lesser involvement depending on the activity.

Please contact me if I can be of any further assistance.

Regards

<u>Richard Davy</u> | Deputy District Commander - Central Group West Sussex Fire And Rescue Service

#### Horsham roads second most lethal in county

#### **West Sussex County Times**

17 June 2014

Nine people were killed and 84 suffered serious injuries in road traffic collisions in the Horsham district between April 2013 and March 2014.

Road safety figures produced by West Sussex County Council reveal that only roads in the Mid Sussex district are more dangerous than Horsham within the county.

A total of 109 people were killed or seriously injured on Mid Sussex roads during the period of time - compared to 93 in Horsham.

The Mid Sussex district is also larger in size than the Horsham district.

The figure drops to 52 in the Worthing district and 34 in the Crawley district.

Inspector Phil Nicholas of Sussex Police's road policing unit said: "While it seems a worrying upward trend in seriously injured casualties in the Horsham district, this should also be seen in light of the previous years, which shows we have had a high reduction in casualties.

"One fatality on our roads is one too many.

"Making further reductions in casualty rates year on year, on the back of significant past reductions becomes increasingly difficult but this is something that we are committed to."

A further 342 people received slight injuries in road accidents to take place in Horsham.

In West Sussex there were 30 fatalities on roads within the period of time, while 450 people received serious injuries and 2195 slight injuries.

Insp Nicholas added: "Sussex Police continues to work with the council and our partners that make up the Safer Roads Partnership to develop a strategy which looks at reducing and preventing road casualties.

"In addition to undertaking enforcement and education initiatives, we need to work with local communities to ensure there are greater levels of respect by all road users, as we endeavour to make our roads safer."

Home Road casualties charts, tables and maps

About

Links

Technicalitie:

#### **West Sussex Reported Road Casualties**

Previous area Next area


#### Killed or seriously injured

20

2005

2006 2007


Error bars show 93% confidence intervals for the underlying risk





2008

2009 2010

2011 2012 2013









Read more on confidence intervals here including why they are needed when interpreting charts.

All modes (numbers)

All modes (percentages)





The figures for reported killed or seriously injured casualties are:

	Pedestrians	Cyclists	Motorcyclists	Car occupants	Others	Total
2005	67 (14%)	42 (9%)	112 (23%)	241 (49%)	27 (6%)	489 (100%)
2006	79 (17%)	41 (9%)	108 (23%)	213 (46%)	23 (5%)	464 (100%)
2007	62 (13%)	42 (9%)	121 (25%)	217 (46%)	34 (7%)	476 (100%)
2008	92 (19%)	47 (10%)	110 (23%)	211 (44%)	25 (5%)	485 (100%)
2009	54 (12%)	56 (12%)	116 (26%)	208 (46%)	17 (4%)	451 (100%)
2010	62 (17%)	45 (12%)	84 (23%)	167 (45%)	15 (4%)	373 (100%)
2011	63 (14%)	54 (12%)	125 (27%)	195 (43%)	18 (4%)	455 (100%)
2012	71 (17%)	54 (13%)	105 (25%)	172 (41%)	18 (4%)	420 (100%)
2013	79 (18%)	76 (17%)	96 (22%)	169 (39%)	18 (4%)	438 (100%)

#### Killed

The figures for reported fatalities are:

	Pedestrians	Cyclists	Motorcyclists	Car occupants	Others	Total
2005	8 (14%)	1 (2%)	5 (9%)	39 (70%)	3 (5%)	56 (100%)
2006	14 (26%)	1 (2%)	12 (23%)	24 (45%)	2 (4%)	53 (100%)
2007	2 (6%)	3 (8%)	11 (31%)	19 (53%)	1 (3%)	36 (100%)
2008	10 (29%)	2 (6%)	5 (15%)	16 (47%)	1 (3%)	34 (100%)
2009	7 (18%)	2 (5%)	7 (18%)	22 (56%)	1 (3%)	39 (100%)
2010	2 (7%)	0	11 (41%)	14 (52%)	0	27 (100%)
2011	7 (21%)	1 (3%)	7 (21%)	16 (48%)	2 (6%)	33 (100%)
2012	6 (24%)	1 (4%)	6 (24%)	12 (48%)	0	25 (100%)
2013	7 (23%)	3 (10%)	3 (10%)	17 (57%)	0	30 (100%)

#### **Sources**

The data summarised here is the STATS19 data collected by police forces and published annually by the DfT. Charts and tables have been generated from databases downloaded from the DfT website.

KSI numbers for 2005 to 2009 were published in Table 46a of each annual DfT report (see Links). The numbers for 2010 to 2012 were published in the spreadsheet ras30043.xls downloadable from <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/reported-road-casualties-great-britain-annual-report-2012">https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/reported-road-casualties-great-britain-annual-report-2012</a> or from here. The numbers for 2013 for England are published on <a href="https://road-collisions.dft.gov.uk/">http://road-collisions.dft.gov.uk/</a>.

Almost all road deaths are reported, but some serious injuries are not reported and only a minority of slight injuries are reported - see the discussions in the annual DfT reports.

Last updated: 07 Aug 2014

Outcome	To reduce the number of people who are victims of violence committed against them whils increasing the reporting of Domestic Abuse.	st simu	taneo	usly			
Strategic Objectives	<ol> <li>Prevention - to reduce the number of recorded all injury violence offences (AIV)</li> <li>Intelligence - to develop intelligence in relation to alcohol fuelled violence that may contribute to the commission of violent offences.</li> <li>Enforcement - to enhance the visibility of both police and partner agencies at times of identified peak demand and offending.</li> <li>Reassurance - to increase the reporting of domestic abuse (incidents and crimes)</li> </ol>	R	A	G			
Key Performance Indicators	1. The total number of Police recorded all injury violence (AIV) offences 2013/14 in comp						
muicators	2. The number of multi-agency test purchase operations (on and off licences) as well as the completion of a covert licensing operation in Horsham town centre.						
	<ol><li>To demonstrate a more focussed presence in hot spot areas at the key times from both the police and other partner agencies.</li></ol>						
	4. The total number of Police recorded domestic abuse incidents and crimes 2013/14 in comparison to 2012/13						
	Update 5 <sup>th</sup> February 2014 - PPY - DA incidents increased by 12.9% (70 incidents) and DA crimes reduced by 1% (3 incidents). This increase has continued – as at 15 <sup>th</sup> September 2014 DA crime had increased by 61 offences for the 2014/15 PPY to date.						
	PPY Result as at 31/03/2014						
	We recorded 261 Violence Against the Person offences for the 2013/14 PPY. This is an incre offences when compared to the previous PPY.	ase of	9.3%	or 75			
	ABH offences (assaults where injury is caused) totalled 311 – an increase of 11.1% or 33 offences compared to the previous PPY.						
Strategic Lead	Sussex Police						
Operational Delivery Lead	Chief Inspector Howard Hodges - Horsham District Commander						

Action	Progress	Status (R A G)
The successful roll-out of the Street Pastors scheme in Billingshurst and consideration for wider roll out to the town centre area.	Scheme rolled out and performing well.     Aspiration remains for a similar scheme in     Horsham Town Centre.	G
<b>1a.</b> The dynamic targeting of hot spot locations by the Youth Support Team to provide a visible presence and provide advice in relation to the impact of violence including that within relationships.	Community Youth Workers employed and deployed across the District who can give appropriate advice and sign post for specialist advice if required.	Α
	Snack Wagon and the Purple Bus are deployed at the direction of the ASBAG based on ASB hot spots.	
	The Information Shop exists in the town centre - there is a gap in provision in rural areas.	

2. Multi-agency test purchase operations (on and off licences) to be	2.	G
completed in partnership with Trading Standards (WSCC) and the Licensing Team (HDC).	16 <sup>th</sup> September 2013	
	The following premises were tested and passed:	
	Mace Stores, St Leonards Road (Off Licence)	
	Tesco's Express, Brighton Road (Off Licence)	
	Co-Op, North Parade (Off Licence)	
	Co-Op, Guilford Road (Off Licence)	
	Bishopric Food And Wine (Off Licence)	
	Mulberry's, Park Way (Off Licence)	
	Corks And Screws, Barrington Road (Off Licence)	
	Sainsbury's, Worthing Road (Off Licence)	
	The Star, Roffey (On and Off Licence)	
	The only failure was the Kings Arms, Bishopric, the DPS Lyta Fry sold two bottles of WKD Blue to our TP's who were 16 and 15 years of age. The Kings Arms has an on and off licence.	
a. The successful execution of a Sussex Police led covert licensing operation in orsham town centre.	No progress. However this would be intelligence led and this intelligence has not been forthcoming from our own observations, referrals from partner agencies and or community intelligence.	R
47		

<b>3.</b> Operation Marble to be reviewed in light of updated crime trends and to ensure that other resources i.e. wardens, WSFRS etc are asked to support a visible presence at these key times.	3.Op Marble is now NRT in the town centre only.  Op Marble has been additionally adapted to include fixed points – East Street, Piries Place and the Carfax in light of recent statistical analysis.	G	
<b>3a.</b> PCSOs to be used to provide tone setting in support of the above to meet and greet people as they arrive to socialise in our key hot spot areas.	<b>3a.</b> Op Respect is now operating every Friday and Saturday (lates) covering the rural areas outside of the town.  Very positive feedback from the public and staff - increased visibility, reassurance and deterrence.	G	





Home

In The Media

National Picture

View Crime

Members

Reports

Blog

Advertise

#### Horsham District Council, England

District Council





#### Crime In Horsham District Council

	ASB	Burglary	Robbery	Vehicle	Violent	Drugs	CD&A	Shoplifting	Bike Theft	Theft From the Person	Other Theft	Weapons	Public Order	Other	Total
Aug 2014	313	62	1	23	100	17	79	31	9	6	60	3	13	9	726
Jul 2014	305	37	2	33	128	12	56	32	9	3	54	0	12	9	692
Jun 2014	345	37	2	26	101	15	75	25	13	4	49	5	15	4	716
May 2014	240	61	0	29	82	14	49	41	9	8	40	1	11	5	590
Apr 2014	239	61	0	46	93	15	61	27	6	2	45	2	11	1	609
Mar 2014	266	67	2	30	94	8	75	28	5	4	59	2	8	0	648
Feb 2014	182	36	0	25	49	8	40	23	3	6	36	5	0	3	416
Jan 2014	212	49	0	34	79	7	56	24	7	6	45	2	12	2	535
Dec 2013	203	46	0	49	67	13	65	33	9	5	35	1	8	3	537
Nov 2013	226	69	2	153	66	12	71	43	13	6	56	6	12	12	747
Oct 2013	223	62	1	38	55	15	46	24	5	6	45	1	8	5	534
Sep 2013	234	51	1	24	52	10	43	32	9	2	44	3	13	6	524
Aug 2013	258	46	0	25	76	16	48	26	15	4	55	1	6	8	584
Jul 2013	345	35	1	36	80	11	67	33	15	2	49	2	11	3	690
Jun 2013	236	48	1	30	62	17	53	24	8	3	64	3	7	1	557
	228	14	1	32	67	6	73	13	1	1	58	0	10	3	507


	ASB	Burglary	Robbery	Vehicle	Violent	Drugs	CD&A	Shoplifting	Bike Theft	Theft From	Other Theft	Weapons	Public Order	Other	Total
										the Person					
May 2013															
Apr 2013	227	48	3	32	73	19	48	18					11	12 	559
Mar 2013	246	43	0	31	59 :	15	65	38			56		14	18	585
Feb 2013	197	48	3	25	60	14	66	25			52		14	13	517 :
Jan 2013	268	52	1	18	78	11	58	15			52		9	13	585
Dec 2012	210	49	3	30	71	18	42	38			77		11	16	565
Nov 2012	215	51	2	22	68	20	54	33		•	81		6	30	582
Oct 2012	231 .	47	1	42	56	20	60	32	•	•	80	•	19	26	614
Sep 2012	282	44	1	26	60	26	68	33			67		15	27 :	649
Aug 2012	288	34	0	32	60	15	57	22	•		66		21	37	632
Jul 2012	311	75	: 1 :	32	72	23	64	31			74		21	36	740
Jun 2012	270	62	1	62	57	17	70	35			99		7	28	708
May 2012	294	61	0	18	61	14	69	33			90		7	27	674
Apr 2012	237	55	1	43 :	54	21	68	45			65		13	31	633
Mar 2012	339	69	6	40	72	9	87	32			70	•	12	25	761
Feb 2012	240	43	3	30	42	10	57	33	• •		73		13	18	562
Jan 2012	299	52	2	46	75	17	80	40			82		20	28	741
Dec 2011	247	53	1	16	69	14	67	32			77		13	19	608
Nov 2011	254	66	1	32	66	18	92	29			83		16	23	680
Oct 2011	377	52	3	44	74	n	83	46			83		15	22	810
Sep 2011	329	44	1	35	61	17	65	30			90		16	33	721
Aug 2011	496	47	3	34	72									254	906
Jul 2011	475	59	2	50	74									287	947
Jun 2011	447	62	1	36	70									294	910
May 2011	٥	66	4	40	78									293	481
Apr 2011	392	48	0	31	65									260	796
Mar 2011	341	58	2	51	62									285	799
Feb 2011	319	71	ì	28	45									222	686
Jan 2011	137	42	3	37	89									227	535
Dec 2010	308	45	2	13	44									198	610

The Economic Policy Centre <a href="www.economicsplicycontre.com">www.economicsplicycontre.com</a> has made every effort in order to ensure that the data for UKCrimeStats is accurate and up to date. However, we are aware of certain deficiencies in this data which are beyond our control. That's because as a 3rd party developer, we do not collect the data, the Police do who then hand it over to another data company to release to 3rd party developers such as ourselves. We only download and analyse it so that you can use it. For full detail of these deficiencies, please read here.








Crime Plus ASB Breakdown For Horsham District Council




#### Crime Type And ASB Charts For Horsham District Council








In September 2011, Other Crime was divided into 6 categories - Drugs, Public Disorder 6 Weapons (which was later split further and so is not displayed here), Criminal Damage 6 Arson (CD6A), Theft - Shoplifting, Theft-Other and Other.





From May 2013, the following changes were made to the crime categories:


1) The violent crime category was renamed "violence and sexual offences"


2) A new category for "bicycle theft" was created which previously fell within "other theft"

3) A new category for "theft from the person" was created which previously fell within "other theft"

4) Public disorder and weapons were then split into two new categories; "public order" and "possession of weapons"

5) Both "other firearms offences" and "other knives offences" which were in "other crime" were moved into "possession of weapons"





#### 22 Wards

August 2014

	Ward	Tota
1	Billingshurst And Shipley	28
2	Bramber, Upper Beeding And	28
	Woodmancote	
3	Itchingfield, Slinfold And Warnham	34
4	Rusper And Colgate	29
5	Chantry	61
6	Pulborough And Coldwatham	50
7	Chanctonbury	32
8	Steyning	17
9	Cowfold, Shermanbury And West	27
	Grinstead	
10	Nuthurst	7
11	Rudgwick	5
12	Henfield	29
13	Southwater	48
14	Holbrook West	24
15	Broadbridge Heath	21
16	Denne	108
17	Horsham Park	51
8	Forest	10
9	Trafalgar	22
20	Holbrook East	10
21	Roffey North	38
22	Roffey South	47